SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-07-2673 TCEO DOCKET NO. 2007-0204-WDW APPLICATION OF TEXCOM GULF) STATE OFFICE OF DISPOSAL, LLC, FOR TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY UNDERGROUND INJECTION) CONTROL PERMIT NOS. WDW410, WDW411, WDW412 AND WDW413) ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-07-2674 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2007-0362-IHW APPLICATION OF TEXCOM GULF) STATE OFFICE OF DISPOSAL, LLC, FOR TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL OUALITY INDUSTRIAL SOLID WASTE PERMIT NO. 87758) ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

REMANDED HEARING ON THE MERITS WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2010

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT at 9:04 a.m., on Wednesday, the 16th day of June 2010, the above-entitled matter came on for hearing at the State Office of Administrative Hearings, William P. Clements, Jr., Building, 300 West 15th Street, Room 404, Austin, Texas, before THOMAS H. WALSTON AND CATHERINE C. EGAN, ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES, and the following proceedings were reported by Evelyn Coder, Certified Shorthand Reporter of:

Volume 2

Pages 244 - 332

245 247 PROCEEDINGS 1 pace of our schedule so far -- I was hoping it wouldn't WEDNESDAY, JUNE 16, 2010 2 come to this, but I've got a prehearing conference (9:04 a.m.) 3 before Judge Cloninger on Monday, the 21st, at three JUDGE WALSTON: We'll go on the record. 4 o'clock in the afternoon, and I'm playing a substantial 5 This is the continuation of SOAH Docket Nos. 582-07-2673 5 role in it, and it's on a contested-case hearing that 6 and 2674, concerning the applications by TexCom Gulf 6 begins on the 28th. I'm playing a substantial role in 7 Disposal, LLC. 7 the case, and I was hoping perhaps that I wouldn't have 8 to ask for the potential opportunity to bow out to And before we went on the record, 9 Mr. Riley mentioned he had a couple of preliminary 9 attend that prehearing conference, but now it looks like 10 we're still going to be in the throes of this by Monday MR. RILEY: Yes. When we left yesterday, 11 afternoon, and so I need to at least raise that issue. 12 there were two items that were asked of the applicant. To the extent we're still moving forward 13 The first was that we provide a clear copy of a formula 13 with the hearing at that point, I may need to ask for an 14 that was discussed on cross-examination of Mr. Casey 14 opportunity to attend that prehearing conference. 15 vesterday. 15 JUDGE WALSTON: That's Monday, the 21st? 16 We did that electronically last evening, MR. HILL: Monday, the 21st, at 3 p.m. 17 and this morning I've given each of you hard copies of 17 JUDGE WALSTON: Just keep us posted. 18 the same document we distributed to the parties. When 18 MR. HILL: I'll do it. 19 19 it comes our turn on redirect, it's my expectation that JUDGE WALSTON: If there are no other 20 I'll introduce that as an exhibit -- or a TexCom exhibit 20 preliminary matters, we'll resume the hearing. 21 so that it's clear in the record. 21 Mr. Casey is on the witness stand. 22 22 The second item was Ms. Mendoza raised a Mr. Casey, I just remind you that you 23 question as to whether she had been provided certain 23 remain under oath. 24 data that is the supporting data for the fall-off test. WITNESS CASEY: Yes. 2.4 25 You'll recall a discussion about a chart in the fall-off JUDGE WALSTON: And Denbury was in the 1 test report. Indeed, Ms. Mendoza had that data and has 1 process of cross-examination. So, Ms. Mendoza, you can 2 had it since she reviewed production. We provided her 2 proceed. 3 copies of the -- she requested copies of certain things MS. MENDOZA: Thank you. 4 that we had produced, and we provided that data some 4 PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF TEXCOM GULF DISPOSAL, LLC 5 5 time ago. I don't have a precise date, but I think (CONTINUED) GREG CASEY, 6 Ms. Mendoza will confirm that she's had that data prior 7 having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: 7 to the beginning of the hearing. MS. MENDOZA: Yes, I have had that data, 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) 9 BY MS. MENDOZA: 9 and I appreciate counsel pointing out the Bates numbers 10 10 that is the start of that data so that we were able to O Good morning, Mr. Casey. 11 confirm it and clear up our confusion yesterday. A Good morning. JUDGE WALSTON: I'll also just note for 12 O I know yesterday I had shown you a book, 13 the record that the ALJs had received a letter from 13 Advances in Well Test Analysis by Robert Earlougher --14 I'm not sure I'm saying that correctly -- from 1977, 14 State Representative Creighton and State Senator 15 trying to talk about some formulas, and I realized that 15 Nichols, dated June 15, 2010, where they wanted to make 16 comment, and I'll note for the record that a copy of 16 I probably have another formula to talk to you about. 17 And I was hoping we could short-circuit 17 that letter has been provided to all parties this 18 this and agree upon some formulas in the book. You said 18 morning. MR. RILEY: Yes, sir. Thank you. 19 you hadn't used this book since college. Is that 20 correct? 20 MR. HILL: Your Honors, if I may? 21 21 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. A No, ma'am; I've used it. I mean, it's in my MR. HILL: I apologize for belaboring any 22 library of books that I reference from time to time. 23 23 more before we get started, but I've got a preliminary O And you cited it, in fact, in your most recent 24 matter that I need to address. 24 testimony. Correct? 25 A Right. It's one of the books that myself and Based on what appears to be the apparent

249	251
1 my staff use as a reference.	1 A The core analysis report from Omni starts on
2 Q So if I were to point to some formulas in	2 Page 134.
3 there, you would probably recognize those formulas. Is	3 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Thank you, Mr. Casey.
4 that correct?	4 JUDGE WALSTON: Just so I'm clear, for the
5 A Potentially, yes.	5 record, that's Volume VI of the application?
6 Q And you believe that this book is correct when	6 A Yes, sir.
7 it gives you these formulas?	7 O (BY MS. MENDOZA) Have you analyzed or have
8 A Yes, ma'am.	8 you reviewed core analysis similar to this one before in
9 Q Thank you. We'll come back to that.	9 the course of your work?
10 We had talked yesterday about the core,	10 A Yes, ma'am.
11 and I was looking for the core report that you had	11 Q Are you familiar with how a core analysis is
12 talked about using. And I wanted to did you have a	12 performed?
13 chance last night perhaps or did you perhaps look for	13 A In basic terms, yes.
14 the core report?	14 Q Have you performed a core analysis before on
15 A No, ma'am.	15 your own?
16 Q I have found in the exhibits in the first	16 A No, ma'am.
17 hearing in this matter, as part of Exhibit 11, at	17 Q Did you review a permeability versus porosity
18 Page 75, something that is labeled Neighbors PDS	18 plot that was part of the report that you just
19 Crossroads Management WDW315, Montgomery County, Texas,	19 referenced me to?
20 at the Well Surfaces, and it has a number of things	20 A I'm not sure which plot you're speaking to.
21 behind it. Would this perhaps be the analysis of the	21 Q If you will look at Page 144 of TexCom
22 core?	22 Exhibit 11, do you recognize that to be a permeability
23 A No, ma'am.	23 versus porosity plot?
24 Q It would not be. What document, then, would I	24 A Yes, that's what it is.
25 look for or can you tell me where in the application	25 Q Have you reviewed permeability versus porosity
250	252
250 1 the analysis of the core is?	252 1 plots before in the course of your work?
1 the analysis of the core is?	1 plots before in the course of your work?
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am.
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application?	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness?	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI.	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application?	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am.	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis?	<pre>1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A</pre>
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that?
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am.
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow 18 along.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability 18 does that correlate to on this permeability versus
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow 18 along. 19 MS. MENDOZA: Yes. I am on TexCom	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability 18 does that correlate to on this permeability versus 19 porosity plot?
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow 18 along. 19 MS. MENDOZA: Yes. I am on TexCom 20 Exhibit No. 11, Page 76.	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability 18 does that correlate to on this permeability versus 19 porosity plot? 20 A Approximately 74.
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow 18 along. 19 MS. MENDOZA: Yes. I am on TexCom 20 Exhibit No. 11, Page 76. 21 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This volume begins as TexCom	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability 18 does that correlate to on this permeability versus 19 porosity plot? 20 A Approximately 74. 21 Q Did you use 74 millidarcies in any of your
1 the analysis of the core is? 2 A I believe it's in Volume VI of the TexCom 3 application. 4 Q Is Exhibit 11 part of the TexCom application? 5 A I don't know what Exhibit 11 is, ma'am. 6 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach 7 the witness? 8 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 9 A Yes. This is part of Volume VI. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This is part of Volume VI of 11 the application? 12 A Yes, ma'am. 13 Q Somewhere else in this Volume VI, though, I 14 will find the core analysis? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q Let me hand you back this part of Volume VI. 17 MR. RILEY: We really would like to follow 18 along. 19 MS. MENDOZA: Yes. I am on TexCom 20 Exhibit No. 11, Page 76. 21 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) This volume begins as TexCom 22 Exhibit 11, Page 1, and it goes apparently through	1 plots before in the course of your work? 2 A I have looked at them. I don't spend a lot of 3 time with them; no, ma'am. 4 Q If you look at the permeability versus porosity 5 plot that I just referred you to on Page 144 of 6 Exhibit 11 7 JUDGE EGAN: Is it 134? 8 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 144 of TexCom 9 Exhibit 11. 10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Along the X axis, that is the 11 bottom horizontal action, you will see porosity 12 expressed as a percent. Correct? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Will you locate on the X axis 24 percent? Have 15 you done that? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q And if you trace that up, what permeability 18 does that correlate to on this permeability versus 19 porosity plot? 20 A Approximately 74. 21 Q Did you use 74 millidarcies in any of your 22 modeling that you have submitted in this case?

253	255
1 Q Mr. Casey, I just wanted to ask you, did you	1 Q Would it be in one of your reports?
2 use 74 millidarcies in any model that you have submitted	2 A Yes, should be in the fall-off test report.
3 in this case?	3 Q Is that part of your prefiled testimony that
4 A No, ma'am.	4 you entered yesterday?
5 Q Mr. Casey, on the Page 144, of TexCom	5 A Yes, ma'am.
6 Exhibit 11, there are five black dots.	6 Q Can you pull that out and take a look at it for
7 Do you know what those five black dots	7 me, please?
8 are?	8 A (The witness complied.)
9 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am.	9 Q Mr. Casey, while you're looking, can you tell
10 Q Mr. Casey, if you will, flip over to Page 146	10 my what exhibit you're looking at?
11 of TexCom Exhibit 11. Am I correct when I look at	11 A Currently Exhibit No. 91.
12 Page 146 of TexCom Exhibit 11 I am seeing an analysis of	12 Q Thank you.
13 five different samples?	13 A I don't think the pressure is specifically
14 A Yes, ma'am.	14 called out in the report. It would be in the data.
15 Q And those five different samples are taken at	15 Q Is this the same data we were talking about
16 specific depths. Is that correct?	16 yesterday and you-all pointed me to last night?
17 A That is correct.	17 A Yes, ma'am.
18 Q And these five samples were taken from WDW315.	18 Q Then we'll pass on that for a little bit.
19 Is that correct?	19 Let's go back to Exhibit 11 that we were
20 A That is correct.	20 talking about. What was the size of the core that was
21 Q And back on Page 144, we have five different	21 taken in the lower Cockfield in height?
22 dots. Is that correct?	22 A I don't actually remember off the top of my
23 A Yes, ma'am.	23 head, ma'am.
Q Do those five dots in any way, shape or form	24 Q Are you able to look through there and verify
25 relate to the five samples that are on Page 146?	25 that they took approximately 14 feet of core?
25 relate to the five samples that are on Page 146?	25 that they took approximately 14 feet of core?
254	256
254 1 A Yes, they do.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page?
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken?	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself.
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth?	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth?	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet.
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core.
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth?	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples?
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth?	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am.
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples?
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it.
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am.	256 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core?
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am.
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are 18 represented on the permeability to porosity log on	JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q Did they take these core samples before or
254 1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are 18 represented on the permeability to porosity log on 19 Page 144 of TexCom Exhibit 11 were, in fact, taken in	JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q Did they take these core samples before or 19 after they slabbed the core?
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are 18 represented on the permeability to porosity log on 19 Page 144 of TexCom Exhibit 11 were, in fact, taken in 20 the lower Cockfield as you defined the lower Cockfield?	JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q Did they take these core samples before or 19 after they slabbed the core? 20 A I don't know.
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are 18 represented on the permeability to porosity log on 19 Page 144 of TexCom Exhibit 11 were, in fact, taken in 20 the lower Cockfield as you defined the lower Cockfield? 21 A Yes, ma'am.	JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q Did they take these core samples before or 19 after they slabbed the core? 20 A I don't know. 21 Q How big were these plug samples that were taken
1 A Yes, they do. 2 Q Will you please now flip back to Page 146 and 3 tell me at what depth was Sample No. 1 taken? 4 A 6,071.52. 5 Q And Sample No. 2 was taken at what depth? 6 A 6,073.25. 7 Q And Sample 3 was taken at what depth? 8 A 6,077.55. 9 Q And Sample 4 was taken at what depth? 10 A 6,080.2. 11 Q And Sample 5 was taken at what depth? 12 A 6,082.96. 13 Q And I believe yesterday you testified that the 14 lower Cockfield was from 6,045 feet to 6,390 feet. Is 15 that correct? 16 A Yes, ma'am. 17 Q So all five of these samples that are 18 represented on the permeability to porosity log on 19 Page 144 of TexCom Exhibit 11 were, in fact, taken in 20 the lower Cockfield as you defined the lower Cockfield? 21 A Yes, ma'am.	JUDGE WALSTON: Can you refer him to a 2 page if you know the page? 3 MS. MENDOZA: I am looking for that as 4 well myself. 5 A On Page 147, the gamma ray plot is all the 6 core, which I can't tell for sure if it is, but it shows 7 to be about 14 a little over 14 feet. 8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Okay. About 14 feet of core. 9 And from that 14 feet of core, they took five plug 10 samples? 11 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 12 Q Are you aware of how they took these plug 13 samples? 14 A Not offhand. I wasn't there when they did it. 15 Q Are you aware of the concept of slabbing a 16 core? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q Did they take these core samples before or 19 after they slabbed the core? 20 A I don't know. 21 Q How big were these plug samples that were taken 22 from the core?

25.7	250
257 1 Q So out of a 14-foot core, they have taken five	259 1 it by 8.3, I get 1.1928. Does that sound like an
2 inches of sampling?	2 accurate answer for the specific gravity of what you
3 A Yes, ma'am.	3 injected?
4 Q And the lower Cockfield is 350 feet thick?	4 A We used 1.18, ma'am.
5 A Correct.	5 Q You used 1.18?
6 Q We have five inches of sampling from 350 feet	6 A Yes.
7 of your injection interval. Is that correct?	7 Q So you used some other number than 8.3 for the
8 A Correct.	8 density of water?
9 Q Do you know how they selected the five	9 A Ma'am, I didn't make the calculation. Dr. Mark
10 locations from which they took these samples?	10 did.
11 A No, ma'am.	11 Q You sealed TexCom Exhibit No. 91?
12 Q Mr. Casey, I want to refer you I think I'm	12 A Excuse me?
13 probably done with Exhibit 11 so we can clear off some	13 Q You sealed TexCom Exhibit No. 91?
14 of the documents there in front of you.	14 A Yes, ma'am.
15 I want to now refer you back to the well	15 Q You supervised this work?
16 test that we were talking about earlier, TexCom	16 A Yes, I did.
17 Exhibit 91, which is a part of your testimony.	17 Q You've submitted to it and have testified that
18 Yesterday I believe that you testified that the specific	18 it is accurate?
19 gravity of the fluid you injected was 1.18. Is that	19 A Yes, I have.
20 correct?	20 Q Did you just recalculate what the specific
21 A Let's see.	21 gravity is of what you injected?
22 Q I believe it's on Page 23 of Exhibit 91.	22 A Yes, I did; and using 8.4, it's 1.179.
23 A Yes, it was.	23 Q So you used then in your calculations the
Q And would you calculate that by dividing the	24 density of water of 8.4?
25 9.9 pounds per gallon as the brine weight by the density	25 A I believe that's the number to use; yes, ma'am.
258	260
1 of water? Is that correct?	1 Q And do you know how he determined the
2 A It was either done that way or through a table.	2 9.9 pounds per gallon?
3 I'm not sure which. My modeling engineer took care of	3 A I believe he took it off the pressure
4 that.	4 measurements on the way out of the hole.
5 Q And your modeling engineer is whom?	5 Q And I just want to clarify. When you say "on
6 A Dr. Mark Layne.	6 the way out of the hole," that's as you-all were
7 Q Do you know how Dr. Mark Layne calculated this?	7 bringing the brine back up?
8 A Offhand; no, ma'am.	8 A Sorry. When we were bringing the bottomhole
9 Q What is the density of water?	9 pressure tool up out of the well, we did pressure
10 A Density of water is .33.	10 gradient stops.
11 JUDGE EGAN: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear.	11 Q So you measured the weight of your injectate as
12 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I'm sorry. I'm asking in	12 you were bringing the pressure tool out of the hole. Is
13 pounds per gallon.	13 that correct?
14 A Pounds per gallon, it's 8.3, 8.4.	14 A Correct. It was a hole standing full of fluid
15 MR. RILEY: Judge, did you want the prior	15 that we injected. We made pressure gradient stops, and
16 answer?	16 we compared that with the information that was supplied
17 JUDGE EGAN: I'm sorry. I'm just having	17 to us by our the company who provided the brine who
18 trouble hearing, Mr. Casey.	18 told it was a 9.9 pound per gallon, and we verified that
19 A I'm sorry. I'm talking that way.	19 number by doing the pressure gradient stops.
20 JUDGE EGAN: Just, if you could, speak up	20 Q I've read through Exhibit No. 91, and I see
21 a little bit.	21 where on Page 4 it says the brine rate was 9.9 pounds
22 A .33, or in pounds per gallon, it would be	22 per gallon based on calculations from the well pressure
23 8.4 8.3, 8.4 per gallon.	23 gradient.
24 JUDGE EGAN: Okay. Thank you.	24 I do not see where it says that Texas
25 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) When I take 9.9 and I divide	25 Brine Corporation told you it was a 9.9 pound per gallon

261	263
1 brine. Is that written somewhere in this report?	1 a look at this book and specifically perhaps at Equation
2 A No, it's not.	2 7.9.
3 Q So that's information about this test that is	3 A (The witness complied.)
4 not in this report?	4 Q Have you been able to find Equation 7.9?
5 A Correct.	5 A I believe so. If you know which equation you
6 Q Were you on site while this while the test	6 want me to look at, would you mind pointing it out?
7 that is reflected in TexCom Exhibit No. 91 was	7 Because I know it says 7.9, but I want to make sure
8 performed?	8 we're on the same page.
9 A Yes, ma'am.	9 Q Yes. I would be happy to.
10 Q And did you check the weight of the injectate	10 MR. RILEY: And I hate to be a stick in
11 before it was placed in WDW315?	11 the mud, but can I have a look before we begin answering
12 A Yes. I mean, you know, I talked to the folks	12 questions?
13 at Texas Brine. They told me what they brought me, and	13 MS. MENDOZA: Yes.
14 then we used a mud scale to check it, and it was within	14 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. I was going to
15 the area of 9.9 pounds per gallon.	15 state, Ms. Mendoza, just for the record, can you state
16 Q And is there a discussion in TexCom Exhibit	16 the title of the book and the authors and the edition,
17 No. 91 of the mud scale?	17 if there is an edition number, just so we'll know what
18 A No. There was no need to put it in the report.	18 he's referring to on the record?
19 Q Do you know how the 190.6 millidarcy	19 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, Your Honor.
20 permeability was calculated in exhibit TexCom Exhibit	20 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, we're looking at a
21 No. 91?	21 book called Advances in Well Test Analysis by Robert C.
22 A Yes. Dr. Mark has a program he uses to do	22 Earlougher and I'm probably saying that incorrectly
23 fall-off test analysis, and by inputting the data, it	23 so I'll spell it E-A-R-L-O-U-G-H-E-R. And it is
24 presents the permeability.	24 copyrighted 1977. Is that correct?
25 Q Mr. Casey, do you know how it was calculated?	25 A Yes.
262	264
262	264
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am.	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability?	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over?
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability.	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability?	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program.	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation?
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91?	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am.	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct?
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91?	264 1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation.	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate?
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation. 18 Q If I could perhaps reference you to Advances in	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate? 18 A Yes, ma'am.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation. 18 Q If I could perhaps reference you to Advances in 19 Well Test Analysis, do you think there might be an	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate? 18 A Yes, ma'am. 19 Q And Q would be the injection rate?
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation. 18 Q If I could perhaps reference you to Advances in 19 Well Test Analysis, do you think there might be an 20 equation in here that would help you?	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate? 18 A Yes, ma'am. 19 Q And Q would be the injection rate? 20 A Correct.
262 1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation. 18 Q If I could perhaps reference you to Advances in 19 Well Test Analysis, do you think there might be an 20 equation in here that would help you? 21 A There probably is an equation in there; yes,	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate? 18 A Yes, ma'am. 19 Q And Q would be the injection rate? 20 A Correct. 21 Q B is a formation volume factor of some sort?
1 A In theory; yes, ma'am. 2 Q And in theory by "in theory," you mean you 3 know an equation for permeability? 4 A Yes. There are equations for permeability. 5 Q Can you tell us which equation you used to 6 calculate permeability? 7 A I don't remember which one is in his program. 8 Q Is the equation that he used to calculate 9 permeability reflected in any part of TexCom Exhibit 10 No. 91? 11 A No, ma'am. 12 Q Do you know an equation by which you would 13 calculate permeability if you have an injection rate, a 14 viscosity, a thickness of the formation and the fall-off 15 pressure data such as you have in TexCom Exhibit No. 91? 16 A Off the top of my head; no, ma'am, I don't know 17 the equation. 18 Q If I could perhaps reference you to Advances in 19 Well Test Analysis, do you think there might be an 20 equation in here that would help you? 21 A There probably is an equation in there; yes, 22 ma'am.	1 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, if you want to 2 go look 3 MR. RILEY: Is it okay if I wander over? 4 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes. 5 MR. RILEY: Yeah. I don't understand it. 6 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, Mr. Riley may not 7 understand the equation, but do you understand the 8 equation? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q And this equation I'm going to try to say 11 this and maybe I'll miss some of the names of the 12 variables, but as I see the equation, it says K equals 13 162.6 times Q times B times mu divided by M times H. Is 14 that correct? 15 A Yes, ma'am. 16 Q And K is the permeability. That's what we're 17 trying to calculate? 18 A Yes, ma'am. 19 Q And Q would be the injection rate? 20 A Correct. 21 Q B is a formation volume factor of some sort? 22 A Correct.

265	267
1 A Correct.	1 5.92?
2 Q And M is the slope that you would get from your	2 A I don't know off the top of my head.
3 fall-off test when you plot pressure over top	3 Q You don't remember what skin you used in your
4 pressure against basically a log of time. Is that	4 model. Is that correct?
5 correct?	5 A That's correct.
6 A I believe that's correct; yes, ma'am.	6 Q Do you have your model there in front of you?
7 Q In looking through the book, I saw another	7 I believe it's part of your prefiled testimony.
8 variable that was S, and it was for skin.	8 A Yes, ma'am, I have the modeling report.
9 I do not see any skin or skin factor in	9 Q And you're looking at TexCom Exhibit No. 85.
10 this particular equation for permeability. Do you see	10 Correct?
11 it in there?	11 A Yes, ma'am.
12 A No, ma'am.	12 Q If you would, look at Page 7 of TexCom Exhibit
13 Q So using this equation for permeability, no	13 No. 85. At the top of Page 7 of TexCom No. 85, does it
14 matter what my skin is, I am going to get the same	14 state, "For modeling, a value of zero (0, no increase or
15 permeability. Is that correct?	15 decrease in effective flow conditions) was used for the
16 A You would get a permeability, yes.	16 model's skin factor as skin is a variable function over
17 Q So if I varied skin using this equation, I'm	17 time and is dependent upon the conditions of the
18 going to vary my permeability?	18 wellbore"?
19 A No, ma'am.	19 A Yes, ma'am.
20 Q Thank you. We've all talked a lot about	20 Q If you had a negative skin, you would have a
21 permeability in this case. I want to make sure we're on	21 tremendous increase or you would have an increase or
22 the same page.	22 I'm sorry. Let me start over.
23 Permeability is, in layman's terms,	23 If you had a negative skin, you would have
24 essentially a measurement of how easy it is for the	24 a lower pressure at your wellbore. Is that correct?
25 fluid to flow through the rock. Is that correct?	25 A Potentially; yes, ma'am.
266	268
1 A Yes, horizontal permeability is the ability of	1 Q And if you use if you had a all other
2 it to flow horizontally through the rock.	2 things being equal, in comparison to a zero skin, if you
3 Q And you've used that permeability in models or	3 had a skin that was a positive number, say perhaps 5.92,
3 Q And you've used that permeability in models or 4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that	3 had a skin that was a positive number, say perhaps 5.92, 4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am.
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests.
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7. 18 Q And in the 1999 fall-off test, do you remember	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable 18 over time, as it states in the report.
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7. 18 Q And in the 1999 fall-off test, do you remember 19 what skin factor that test showed?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable 18 over time, as it states in the report. 19 Q Mr. Casey, my question was, you did not assume
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7. 18 Q And in the 1999 fall-off test, do you remember 19 what skin factor that test showed? 20 A No, ma'am, I don't.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable 18 over time, as it states in the report. 19 Q Mr. Casey, my question was, you did not assume 20 a minor positive skin in this modeling. Is that
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7. 18 Q And in the 1999 fall-off test, do you remember 19 what skin factor that test showed? 20 A No, ma'am, I don't. 21 Q Let me show you TexCom Exhibit 11, Page 165.	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable 18 over time, as it states in the report. 19 Q Mr. Casey, my question was, you did not assume 20 a minor positive skin in this modeling. Is that 21 correct?
4 equations where you have calculated pressure. Is that 5 correct? 6 A Calculated what pressure? 7 Q I'm sorry. When you do you use permeability 8 in your normal work in different models to calculate 9 pressures within an injection interval perhaps? 10 A Yes, ma'am. 11 Q And so when you change permeability, it changes 12 pressure is that correct all other things being 13 equal? Is that correct? 14 A Yes, ma'am. 15 Q In this 2009 fall-off test, what skin did the 16 test show? 17 A Skin factor was 22.7. 18 Q And in the 1999 fall-off test, do you remember 19 what skin factor that test showed? 20 A No, ma'am, I don't. 21 Q Let me show you TexCom Exhibit 11, Page 165. 22 Did the 1999 fall-off test show a skin of 5.92?	4 you would have a higher pressure at your wellbore. Is 5 that correct? 6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am. 7 Q How many injection wells have you worked on 8 where when you ran fall-off tests you found negative 9 skin? 10 A I'm honestly not sure. I've done a lot of 11 tests, reviewed a lot of tests. 12 Q And a lot of those tests have shown negative 13 skin? 14 A Most of them show some minor positive skin. 15 Q You did not assume any minor positive skin in 16 this modeling, did you? 17 A No. We assumed zero because skin is variable 18 over time, as it states in the report. 19 Q Mr. Casey, my question was, you did not assume 20 a minor positive skin in this modeling. Is that 21 correct? 22 A We assumed a value of zero.

200	071
269 1 modeling that you have submitted as TexCom Exhibit	271 1 Q Now I going to ask you about the other modeling
2 No. 85 as a skin factor?	2 that you have done in this case that you submitted in
3 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered.	3 the last hearing. Do you remember that modeling?
4 JUDGE WALSTON: He hasn't specifically	4 A Yes, ma'am.
5 answered it, but this is getting repetitive. He's	5 Q Did the modeling that you submitted in this
6 effectively answered the question. He said it was zero,	6 case in the last hearing include in it any producing
7 which is I think common sense tells us that's not a	7 wells?
8 positive number. It's kind of getting argumentative.	8 A No, it did not.
9 But can you answer the question, Mr.	9 Q Did it include any injecting wells, other than
10 Casey, specifically?	10 WDW410?
11 A Restate the question, please.	11 A No, ma'am.
12 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) In the modeling that you have	12 Q Did it include four wells, injecting?
13 submitted as TexCom Exhibit No. 85, did you assume a	13 A No, it did not.
14 minor positive number as the skin factor?	14 Q Did the modeling that you have submitted in
15 A No.	15 TexCom Exhibit 85 show a fault or account for a fault
16 Q Thank you. The modeling that you have done in	16 approximately 4,400 feet south of WDW410?
17 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 is a model using one well is	17 A Yes, it did.
18 that correct one injection well? 19 A Yes, ma'am.	18 Q I wanted to clear up some confusion that I had 19 when I was going through the application.
20 Q Does the model that you've submitted as part of	20 Did you at one point locate that fault
21 TexCom 85 show any producing wells in the model?	21 700 feet south of WDW315?
22 A No. We've modeled the lower Cockfield, and	22 A I cannot remember, ma'am.
23 there's no production in the lower Cockfield.	Q Let me point you to TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 62.
24 Q Mr. Casey, my question is very simple.	24 JUDGE WALSTON: Which page did you say?
25 Did the model that you have submitted as	25 MS. MENDOZA: TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 62.
270	272
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells?	1 If I could hand this to the
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62?
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am.
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410?	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits?
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy.</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells?	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered.	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there.</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted"	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am.</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my	<pre>1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about?</pre>
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones?	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again?	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again?	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault 19 700 feet south of WDW315?
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again. 19 First the last couple of questions that 20 you answered, I was asking you about TexCom Exhibit 21 No. 85.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault 19 700 feet south of WDW315? 20 A No. I believe it's a typo and a zero was left 21 off. That's why there's a comma after the seven. It
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again. 19 First the last couple of questions that 20 you answered, I was asking you about TexCom Exhibit	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault 19 700 feet south of WDW315? 20 A No. I believe it's a typo and a zero was left
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again. 19 First the last couple of questions that 20 you answered, I was asking you about TexCom Exhibit 21 No. 85.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault 19 700 feet south of WDW315? 20 A No. I believe it's a typo and a zero was left 21 off. That's why there's a comma after the seven. It
1 TexCom Exhibit No. 85 include any producing wells? 2 A No, ma'am. 3 Q Did the model that you submitted as TexCom 4 Exhibit No. 85 show any injection wells, other than 5 WDW410? 6 A No, ma'am. 7 Q Did any of the models that you submitted in 8 your testimony in the first hearing on these permits 9 include any producing wells? 10 MR. RILEY: Objection; asked and answered. 11 "Did the modeling you submitted" 12 JUDGE WALSTON: I think now she asked in 13 the first hearing. Her question was slightly different. 14 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you understand my 15 question to be different than the last ones? 16 A I'm not quite sure. Can you go back through 17 them again? 18 Q Yes, I can go back through it again. 19 First the last couple of questions that 20 you answered, I was asking you about TexCom Exhibit 21 No. 85. 22 A Yes.	1 If I could hand this to the 2 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, do you recognize 3 TexCom Exhibit No. 6, Page 62? 4 A Yes, ma'am. 5 Q This is part of the application for these 6 permits? 7 A Yes. It's the unrevised copy. 8 Q We'll go through each revision. I'm reading 9 right before where it says "VAI, regional petroleum 10 production." Do you see I'm two lines up from there. 11 A Yes, ma'am. 12 Q And it references 7 comma 00 feet south of 13 WDW315. Is this the 4,400-foot south fault that we've 14 all been talking about? 15 A I believe it is, but it's this is just kind 16 of regional geology in the area. It's not specific 17 so 18 Q So do you believe that there is a fault 19 700 feet south of WDW315? 20 A No. I believe it's a typo and a zero was left 21 off. That's why there's a comma after the seven. It 22 should be 7,000.

		0.7.2	0.75
1		MS. MENDOZA: Page 69.	275 1 Q Did you provide the input parameters to
2	0	(BY MS. MENDOZA) Do you recognize this to be	2 Dr. Layne?
	-	ment or an addition to your application?	3 A It was a combination of, you know, myself and
4	A A	Yes. It's still the same version of Section 5.	4 Dr. Langhus and Dr. Mark Layne working together; yes,
		the revised copy that's in the application.	5 ma'am.
6	Q Q	Okay. Let me refer you then to Page 15 of	6 Q Do you know what drilling logs they drew data
		23. And on Page 15 of Exhibit 23, is that fault	7 from?
		ted 7,300 feet south?	8 A Offhand; no, ma'am. I mean, we had lots of
9	A A	Yes, ma'am.	9 data that we sorted through to build the model.
10	0	This discussion doesn't talk about the	10 Q So if I were to go to all the documents that
	-	ot south fault. Is that correct?	
			11 have been produced to us in this case, I would find all
12	Α	This discussion is strictly a regional	12 the drilling logs from which you have drawn data?
	overview		13 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. We've submitted
14	Q	Was this discussion prepared by you?	14 everything we've had.
15	A	No, ma'am, it was not.	15 Q And for the analysis of the injection fall-off
16	Q	And who was it prepared by?	16 testing that generated input parameters into your model,
17	A	Dr. Langhus.	17 what input parameters came from the analysis of the
18	Q	Mr. Casey, when you conducted your modeling	18 injection fall-off testing?
	_	submitted as part of your testimony in this	19 A Offhand I couldn't tell you what we took from
		did you conduct it basically the same way that	20 it. It was part of the data that we reviewed to help us
21	it was c	onducted in your prior testimony?	21 make our decisions on what to input in the model.
22	A	Basically; yes, ma'am.	22 Q So in your first modeling that you submitted,
23	Q	Did you use the same sources for data, except	23 you did not use the injection fall-off testing for the
24	for chan	ging to 80.9 and changing to a nontransmissive	24 permeability, did you?
25	fault?		25 A No, ma'am.
		274	276
1	А	\$274\$ Yes. All we were instructed to do was to	276 1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for
			· 1
2		Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for
2	change t	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter?
2 3 4	change t nontrans Q	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build
2 3 4	change t nontrans Q	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I
2 3 4 5	change t nontrans Q model ge	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you.
2 3 4 5	change t nontrans Q model ge	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that
2 3 4 5 6 7	change t nontrans Q model ge A report.	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	change t nontrans Q model ge A report.	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	change to nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q fall-off	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection testing?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed. 19 Q Earlier you referred to engineering choices,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection testing? Yes. As to the drilling logs, did you draw data from	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed. 19 Q Earlier you referred to engineering choices, 20 and I want to explore what choices you made when you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection testing? Yes. As to the drilling logs, did you draw data from	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed. 19 Q Earlier you referred to engineering choices, 20 and I want to explore what choices you made when you 21 were looking at the fall-off testing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q the WDW3	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection testing? Yes. As to the drilling logs, did you draw data from 15 log?	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed. 19 Q Earlier you referred to engineering choices, 20 and I want to explore what choices you made when you 21 were looking at the fall-off testing. 22 What data did you choose to use from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	change t nontrans Q model ge A report. Q A Q A Q A Q A Q table WDW3 A	Yes. All we were instructed to do was to he permeability to 80.9 and treat the fault as missive. Were your input parameters for your reservoir nerated from geologic data? Geologic data and the original well drilling In part from geologic data? In part; yes, ma'am. And in part from drilling logs? Yes, ma'am. And in part from wire line logging? Yes, ma'am. And in part from standard correlations? I believe so; yes, ma'am. And in part from structural maps? I'm sure they're included; yes, ma'am. And in part from analysis of the injection testing? Yes. As to the drilling logs, did you draw data from 15 log? I'm sure we did.	1 Q You used the injection fall-off testing for 2 some other input parameter? 3 A It was part of the data we reviewed to build 4 the model. As to if we took specific data from it, I 5 could not tell you. 6 Q So if you had put in your application that 7 input parameters for the reservoir model were generated 8 from, and then a list of things, including analysis of 9 injection fall-off testing, you do not know what input 10 parameter came from the analysis of injection fall-off 11 testing. Is that your testimony? 12 A Yes, ma'am. We took data from numerous sources 13 and then made engineering choices on how to set up the 14 model. 15 Q And so you chose to use some things from the 16 fall-off testing and you chose to not use some things 17 from the fall-off testing. Is that correct? 18 A It was part of the data that we reviewed. 19 Q Earlier you referred to engineering choices, 20 and I want to explore what choices you made when you 21 were looking at the fall-off testing. 22 What data did you choose to use from the 23 fall-off testing?

279 277 JUDGE WALSTON: I just want to ask some 1 which would give him some time to just take a careful 2 clarification to make sure I understand what you're 2 look at it. 3 asking. JUDGE WALSTON: Okay. That will be fine. MR. RILEY: I don't think that's what Are you talking about the original 5 modeling from the prior hearing in the original fall-off 5 we're waiting for but --6 tests? Is that what you're talking about? JUDGE WALSTON: We'll take a 15-minute MS. MENDOZA: Yes, that is what I'm 7 break. We'll resume at 10:25. We'll go off the record. (Recess: 10:06 a.m. to 10:25 a.m.) 8 8 talking about. JUDGE WALSTON: I thought so, but I just JUDGE WALSTON: We'll go back on the 10 record. Ms. Mendoza, you can proceed. 10 wanted to make sure. 11 11 MS. MENDOZA: Thank you. MS. MENDOZA: Yes. Thank you. 12 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) In your original model, what 12 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, I think when we 13 data from the fall-off tests did you choose to use? 13 left there was a question pending. I asked you if this 14 A As I stated, we didn't specifically -- off the 14 TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 206, and the data that follows 15 top of my head remember choosing a specific number from 15 it, is part of TexCom's application for its well. 16 the fall-off test. It was part of the data we reviewed 16 Is it part of TexCom's application? 17 in generating our model. 17 A Yes, ma'am. Q I'm very confident that you chose not to use 18 O And do you recognize what it is? 19 19 the permeability from the fall-off test. Is that A It looks like the input file for the BOAST 20 correct? 20 model. A Correct. We did not use the permeability from 21 Q And this is the input file for the BOAST model 22 the fall-off test. 22 that was submitted in the original hearing in this case. Q Did you use any other data from the fall-off 23 Ts that correct? 24 test in your first model? 2.4 A In looking at it, it's an input file. I cannot 25 A As I stated, it was part of what we reviewed. 25 say for sure it's the one -- because the -- it says one 1 If we took a specific number from that, I could not tell 1 permeability on the input file, and the output file has 2 you, but it was part of the data that we reviewed to 2 a different permeability. So potentially the wrong 3 build the model. 3 input file got put in the application. Q Let me see if I can locate what I think is the 5 input file for your first model. 5 know where the correct input file is? MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, if I can 6 A I would imagine it's in our original submittals 7 approach the witness? 7 for the first hearing. They took all the information MR. RILEY: Do we have an idea of --8 that we had. So I would assume that it's in that MS. MENDOZA: It's TexCom Exhibit 6. 9 information that was submitted with the first hearing. 10 Page 206. O Do you think it's an exhibit that was entered 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Do you recognize that, 11 into the record in the first hearing? A I honestly don't know if it was entered as an 12 Mr. Casey. 13 exhibit or not. 13 A It's a BOAST data file; yes, ma'am. 14 Q Is this the BOAST data file you used to 14 Q So if I was looking for the input data that you 15 generate your first model that you submitted in this 15 used in the model that you submitted as part of your 16 testimony in the first hearing, I don't have it, if all 17 A I don't believe so, but not knowing exactly 17 I have is this input file. Is that correct? 18 where it came from --That would be my assumption, if this is the 19 Q Mr. Casey, it is part of TexCom's exhibit, 19 only input file that you have. 20 isn't it? It is part of TexCom's application. Is that Q Do you think if you took a little bit of time 21 you could find the input file? 21 correct? (Brief pause) 22 A I'm sure we could locate it somewhere. MR. RILEY: Would this be a good time for MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, I would ask that 24 a morning break? 24 TexCom be asked to take a look for the input file. I 25 will, at the same time, sit our experts down with all 25 MS. MENDOZA: It might be a good time,

283 281 1 the data that has been produced and ask them to do the 1 of data tonight and I -- it didn't seem like it took 2 exact same thing and try to find that input file. 2 them very long yesterday to lay their hands directly on JUDGE WALSTON: So I'm not sure I 3 it given that this witness had generated it, but if they 4 understand what you're asking. You're looking through 4 don't want to look for the input file from their first 5 all the exhibits that were in the first case, or 5 modeling run, I'm puzzled by that. 6 what are you asking? JUDGE WALSTON: What is your purpose in MS. MENDOZA: I'm asking TexCom to confirm 7 seeking the input file? 8 that they have the input file for the model that was MS. MENDOZA: My purpose in seeking this 8 9 entered in the first hearing and that was put as a part 9 is that the witness has talked about what changes he 10 of the application, because if I'm understanding 10 made between the testimony that -- the modeling 11 Mr. Casey's testimony correctly, he does not believe 11 testimony that he has offered as part of this remand 12 that the input file that is found at TexCom Exhibit 6, 12 hearing and the modeling that he did in the first 13 Page 206, actually corresponds to the output file. 13 hearing. And I'm trying to find the input file that And we want to see the inputs that he used 15 goes with the output file that generated the model that 15 in the first hearing so that we can talk to him about 16 is part of Mr. Casev's testimony. 16 the changes that he has made between the last hearing JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Riley, do you have a 17 and this current testimony and determine why he made 18 response? 18 those changes and what it is that is the basis of those 19 MR. RILEY: I do. This is similar to 19 changes 20 20 yesterday's events where Ms. Mendoza guestioned whether JUDGE WALSTON: Before maybe we go on a 21 we had provided her with certain data. Really, in 30 21 goose chase, I'm not sure we got an answer from him of 22 minutes' time, we were able to find the data, that it 22 whether he made any changes, other than what was 23 had been provided in discovery in due course. It seems 23 directed by the remand order. Maybe we need to find out 24 inappropriate to conduct discovery in the middle of a 24 if there were any changes. And maybe you've asked that 25 and I just don't remember it. 25 hearing. So my suggestion is we proceed. If Ms. Mendoza wants to make some point at At this time, I'll take your request under 2 some time about the input file that's in the 2 advisement. 3 application, I don't think there's any question --MS. MENDOZA: Okay. Thank you. 4 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I will ask that. Did you 4 Ms. Mendoza said this earlier. There's no question that $5\ {\rm TexCom}$ used 500 millidarcies as the permeability in the 5 make any other changes to your model, other than the two 6 original modeling. That output file is in the 6 changes that were directed by the remand order? 7 application. So I'm really not sure what question we're No. The only changes we made was we changed 8 trying to answer here, but I don't think it's 8 permeability to 80.9 and treated the fault as 9 appropriate to send us on another chase for data when I 9 nontransmissive. 10 believe it's been provided in production. And I will point out that at the top of MS. MENDOZA: My confusion is that I'm 11 the output file, it has the parameters listed that were 12 looking at what was submitted as part of the -- as part 12 on the input file. 13 of the application, and I'm looking at the input file. Q Yes. Okay. So the output file does, then, 14 And I've asked the witness if this was the input file, 14 give me the input. And if I needed that information, I 15 and I believe he has said it is not. And I am trying to 15 could go to the output file and get it just as easily as 16 look at what his input was this past time -- you know, 16 from the input file? 17 in his current testimony and see where he has changed A Yes. It looks to be the same information, just 18 with the one change. 18 from the last one. 19 And I, too, was -- this looked odd when I O Okay. So that answers my question. I don't 20 looked at it. So I'm trying to figure out where the 20 think we need to go and look for it because I can just 21 input file is. If it's been produced, then -- I'm not 21 look at the output file that was there. 22 suggesting we stop testimony and take a break or If you could, take a look at the same 23 anything like that. I'm just suggesting that perhaps 23 volume in front of you, TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 127. Do

25

A Yes, I do.

24 you have TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 127, in front of you?

24 the witness knows where the input file is, but if it has

25 been produced to us, then we will look through the boxes

285	287
1 Q Do you recognize that?	1 file in order to look at it.
2 A Yes, ma'am.	2 Q This is the input file that actually isn't in
3 Q At the bottom of Page TexCom Exhibit 6,	3 the application?
4 Page 127, in Section VII.C.1, the second sentence, it	4 A Apparently not; yes, ma'am.
5 says, "The model is configured for closed outer	5 Q So you can't tell me by looking at the output
6 boundaries." Is that correct?	6 file whether you used a closed outer boundary?
7 A That's what it says; yes, ma'am.	7 A Not no, ma'am; I cannot.
8 Q Is that your understanding of how you modeled	8 Q You adopted you prepared TexCom Exhibit 6.
9 the reservoir in your original testimony?	9 Is that correct?
10 A Well, this is the unrevised version I'm looking	10 It is part of the application, isn't it?
11 at.	11 A Yes, it is.
12 Q Let me pull up the other versions because this	12 MR. RILEY: Can we get an answer to one
13 is an important point, and I want to make sure that we	13 question at a time, please.
14 get the correct one.	14 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry.
15 MR. RILEY: Objection to the editorial	15 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did you prepare TexCom
16 comments. What's important, what's not important is for	16 Exhibit 6?
17 you.	17 A Yes, I did.
18 JUDGE WALSTON: I'll overrule your	18 Q Is it part of the application?
19 objection. I guess it's important to the party.	19 A Yes, it is.
20 MS. MENDOZA: Your Honor, may I approach	20 Q Did you adopt it as part of your testimony in
21 the witness?	21 the last hearing?
22 JUDGE WALSTON: Yes.	22 A Yes, I did.
23 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) How many revisions did you	23 Q Did you prepare each of the updates to the
24 make to the application?	24 application?
25 A To this section, it's two or three revisions.	25 A Yes, ma'am.
286	288
1 I don't remember off the top of my head.	1 Q Do you believe that there are updates that I
2 Q I want to I did not run through these	2 have not provided to you here?
3 changes. So I have TexCom Exhibit 20 and TexCom Exhibit	3 A As far as I can tell, you provided the updates
4 23. Could you look and see if either one of those	
	4 we've done.
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about?	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries?
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed.
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect?
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary.	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge.
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again?	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry.	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again?	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect?
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior 17 testimony your prior testimony that had a model in	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior 17 testimony your prior testimony that had a model in 18 it, was that model conducted using or configured with	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 18 about closed outer boundaries of the first model has
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior 17 testimony your prior testimony that had a model in 18 it, was that model conducted using or configured with 19 a closed outer boundary?	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 18 about closed outer boundaries of the first model has 19 there been?
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 18 about closed outer boundaries of the first model has 19 there been? 20 MS. MENDOZA: He just indicated that he
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior 17 testimony your prior testimony that had a model in 18 it, was that model conducted using or configured with 19 a closed outer boundary? 20 A I would really have to look. I mean, I know 21 the text says that we configured for a closed outer	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 18 about closed outer boundaries of the first model has 19 there been? 20 MS. MENDOZA: He just indicated that he 21 had adopted TexCom Exhibit 6 as his testimony, and that
5 exhibits revised this particular section that we're 6 talking about? 7 I've also handed you Exhibits 21, 24, 19 8 and 22. So I think once I've now handed you 9 Exhibits 19 through 24, which I understand to be all of 10 the updates to the UIC application. 11 So I'm just wanting to see if you have 12 updated the statement that the first model was conducted 13 using a closed outer boundary. 14 A Okay. Now, what was your question again? 15 Sorry. 16 Q Okay. Did your first model was your prior 17 testimony your prior testimony that had a model in 18 it, was that model conducted using or configured with 19 a closed outer boundary? 20 A I would really have to look. I mean, I know 21 the text says that we configured for a closed outer 22 boundary. I would need to go back and make sure this	5 Q Did any of those updates change the sentence 6 that is found in Section VII.C.1 that says the model is 7 configured for closed outer boundaries? 8 A In what I've seen; no, ma'am. That sentence 9 has not changed. 10 Q Do you now believe that sentence to be 11 incorrect? 12 A I believe our model is configured with an open 13 boundary at the edge. 14 Q You now believe that all the testimony that you 15 have given in this case about the closed outer boundary 16 of your first model is incorrect? 17 MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 18 about closed outer boundaries of the first model has 19 there been? 20 MS. MENDOZA: He just indicated that he 21 had adopted TexCom Exhibit 6 as his testimony, and that

291 289 O (BY MS. MENDOZA) Are you retracting your prior O Mr. Casey, let me point you to TexCom 2 testimony today? 2 Exhibit 6, Page 213. MR. RILEY: Objection. What testimony 3 A Okav. 4 Q I'm looking halfway down the page on TexCom 4 specifically is counsel asking the witness to retract? Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, are you retracting 5 Exhibit 6, Page 213, and there's a line of asterisks 6 your prior testimony as reflected in Section VII.C.1 of 6 with the words "porosity node modifications." Do you 7 Exhibit 6 that the model is configured for closed outer 7 see that? 8 boundaries? A Yes, ma'am. MR. RILEY: Objection. What he testified Q Do you know what that means? 10 to was that this is the application that was submitted 10 A Not specifically. 11 to the agency. Counsel would like the witness to state 11 Q Would this be one of the inputs that you 12 now that that line in the application is incorrect, what 12 directed your staff to use in coming up with this model? 13 she's already stated. He's not testified, to the best 13 As I said, Dr. Mark Layne is our modeling 14 of my recollection, any time specifically about that 14 expert. He built the model, and as far as what that 15 line in the application. So when she asks about 15 line means, I could not tell you. 16 testimony, it's an unfair question. O And you need to look at the input file for 16 JUDGE WALSTON: I'll sustain the objection 17 this. Correct? 18 to the form of the question because your question 18 I could look at it. Like I said, Dr. Mark 19 Layne built the model, and he would be the one that 19 suggests he gave oral testimony stating that fact, 20 whereas I think his actual testimony was just that he 20 could specifically help me read how the file was put 21 approved the application -- or adopted the application. 21 together to determine how the boundaries were set. So if you want to phrase it in the context O So you have no idea, as we sit here today, how 23 the boundaries were set? 23 of what the application says, I'll allow that question. MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Your Honor. 2.4 2.4 A I do not remember exactly how we set the Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, are you now 25 boundaries on the first model. Q But you believe that it was not a closed outer 1 stating that if the application said that the model --2 the model that you first submitted to the agency was 2 boundary? 3 configured for closed outer boundaries, that your --3 A The best of my recollection is we did an open 4 that the application was incorrect? 4 boundary at the edge, but without talking to Dr. Mark, I A I would need to look at the input file to 5 could not verify that fact. 6 really verify -- to make sure we did use open outer Q Could you verify that fact if you had the input 7 file? 7 boundaries. Q Did I misunderstand your prior testimony where 8 A Possibly, but, you know, I'm not the modeling 9 you said I could look at the output file and know what 9 expert who works with BOAST. 10 was used in the input file? 0 This is completely outside your area of A The parameters used; yes, ma'am. 11 expertise? Q Do you have that output file here with you in No. Modeling is within my area of expertise. 13 Exhibit 6 today? 13 I have a person on my staff who specializes in modeling A It does not -- the output file doesn't -- it 14 and wrote his thesis on reservoir modeling. 15 doesn't state the complete model configuration in the You didn't write a thesis on reservoir 16 output file. It has the input parameters that were put 16 modeling, did you? 17 A No. ma'am; I did not. 17 into the model. 18 Is your seal affixed to the application? So the output file tells me nothing about the 19 boundary conditions that you used in the model that you 19 20 20 submitted to the TCEQ with your application. Is that Does it include this model that we've been 21 correct? 21 talking about? 22 A The output file -- there is probably a way to 22 A Yes, it does. 23 23 figure it out from the output file, but I am not the And you supervised that work? 24 A Yes, I did. 24 expert in BOAST. That would be Dr. Mark Layne who did 25 25 our modeling. You reviewed it?

293	295
1 A Yes, I did.	1 one. If it continues to be a problem we can but does
2 O You made sure it was correct?	2 the document she just gave you complete the exhibit?
3 A Yes, I did.	3 A Yes. That was the missing page. I just wasn't
4 O You looked at the text in Section VII.C.1 of	4 sure if they were maps or pages or
5 the application. Is that correct?	5 MR. RILEY: Thank you.
6 A Yes, I did.	6 A There shows to be, of that particular page, at
7 O You made sure that was correct?	7 least two revisions.
8 A Yes, ma'am.	8 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Did each one of those
9 Q How did you make sure that closed outer	9 revisions say that the model is configured for closed
10 boundaries was actually your model condition?	10 outer boundaries?
11 A At the time, it's possible that I missed that	11 A Yes, it did.
12 one line. I have six binders of information in the	12 Q If the agency believed that the model was
13 first application that I reviewed.	13 configured for closed outer boundaries, were they
14 Q Have you gone back through that to see what	14 justified in that belief?
15 else you may have missed?	15 A Can you restate the question?
16 A No, ma'am.	16 Q If the agency believed that the model was
17 Q Can you look at the various modifications to	17 configured for closed outer boundaries, were they
18 the application that you made after TexCom Exhibit 6 was	18 justified in having that belief?
19 submitted to the agency and tell me, did you make	19 A Yes.
20 were there any of those that included a new Section	20 Q If you could, now, turn to your testimony which
21 VII.C.1?	21 you've just entered, which was Exhibit 85 and I'll
22 A Yes, there were new Section VIIs submitted.	22 take some of this out of your way. I'll just push it
23 Q How many new Section VII.C.1s, were submitted	23 over to the side for you.
24 to the agency?	24 If you look at Page 7 of TexCom Exhibit
25 (Brief pause)	25 85 can you find that?
	-
294	296 1 A Yes, ma'am.
294	296
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from	296 1 A Yes, ma'am.
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that?
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am.
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence?
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes.
294 1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct?
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct.
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary?
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am.
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as 18 opposed to copies counsel is providing.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do 18 closed outer boundaries and your second model now uses
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as 18 opposed to copies counsel is providing. 19 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry.	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do 18 closed outer boundaries and your second model now uses 19 an infinite-acting outer boundary, then that would be
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as 18 opposed to copies counsel is providing. 19 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 20 MR. RILEY: And I'm not suggesting any	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do 18 closed outer boundaries and your second model now uses 19 an infinite-acting outer boundary, then that would be 20 another change that you made in your model, other than
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as 18 opposed to copies counsel is providing. 19 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 20 MR. RILEY: And I'm not suggesting any 21 evil intent. It's just the record copy should be	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do 18 closed outer boundaries and your second model now uses 19 an infinite-acting outer boundary, then that would be 20 another change that you made in your model, other than 21 changing the permeability and the fault transmissivity.
1 A There seems to be some pages missing from 2 TexCom Exhibit 23. 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me see if I can find a better 4 copy of it. 5 MR. RILEY: Just to be clear, that's, I 6 think, counsel's copy that the witness has been working 7 with. I don't know if that's true in the record copy. 8 MS. MENDOZA: Yes, it may very well be our 9 error in copying or in providing an exhibit, so let me 10 pull that again. 11 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) I think maybe if I gave you 12 Pages 52 and 53, would that answer would that make it 13 a complete copy or are there other pages missing? I 14 apologize. I may have left out the two maps 15 oversized maps. 16 MR. RILEY: Your Honor, it really would 17 help, I think, if we just worked with the record copy as 18 opposed to copies counsel is providing. 19 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry. 20 MR. RILEY: And I'm not suggesting any 21 evil intent. It's just the record copy should be 22 complete, and it would be helpful to work with the	296 1 A Yes, ma'am. 2 Q And at the bottom of there, there is a section 3 that is entitled "Reservoir Model Parameters/Model 4 Construction." Do you see that? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q And do you see the second sentence? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And that sentence reads, "The model is 9 configured for infinite-acting outer boundaries based on 10 the large areal extent of the Cockfield formation." Is 11 that correct? 12 A That's correct. 13 Q Is an infinite-acting outer boundary the same 14 as a closed outer boundary? 15 A No, ma'am. 16 Q If you were actually accurate to the agency 17 when you told them that your first model was used to do 18 closed outer boundaries and your second model now uses 19 an infinite-acting outer boundary, then that would be 20 another change that you made in your model, other than 21 changing the permeability and the fault transmissivity.

1 model, then your testimony earlier was incorrect when 2 you said you only made two changes to your model. Is 3 that that testimony would have been incorrect if you 4 were right on the closed outer boundary? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Are you certain that you used an 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 8 submitted in your testimony today? 1 Q Did you look at the input file for the model 2 that you submitted to the Judges today? 3 A At one point in time, yes; I did look at 4 Q Do you know what you used as the boundary 5 condition in that model? 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 boundary. 8 Q And how did you simulate in your model and	it.
2 you said you only made two changes to your model. Is 3 that that testimony would have been incorrect if you 4 were right on the closed outer boundary? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Are you certain that you used an 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 2 that you submitted to the Judges today? 3 A At one point in time, yes; I did look at 4 Q Do you know what you used as the boundary 5 condition in that model? 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 boundary.	it.
3 that that testimony would have been incorrect if you 4 were right on the closed outer boundary? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Are you certain that you used an 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 3 A At one point in time, yes; I did look at 4 Q Do you know what you used as the boundary 5 condition in that model? 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 boundary.	
4 were right on the closed outer boundary? 5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Are you certain that you used an 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 4 Q Do you know what you used as the boundary 5 condition in that model? 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 boundary.	
5 A Yes, ma'am. 6 Q Are you certain that you used an 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 5 condition in that model? 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 boundary.	
6 Q Are you certain that you used an 6 A I believe it is an infinite-acting outer 7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 7 boundary.	
7 infinite-acting outer boundary in the model that you've 7 boundary.	
9 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 9 infinite-acting outer boundary?	
10 Q How would you go about verifying that? 10 A Based on what I believe Dr. Layne told me	, we
11 A I believe we can look at the input or output 11 used large porosity again.	
12 files, but I would like to consult with my modeler who 12 Q Did you use a 340 percent porosity as the	outer
13 did the work for me. 13 boundary?	
14 Q You didn't verify that before you entered your 14 A That sounds about right.	
15 testimony today? 15 Q Yesterday when we adjourned, I believe we	were
16 A It was part of what we did what our 16 looking at TexCom Exhibit 6, Page 239. By any cha	
17 instructions were, but if there was a difference between 17 do you have that in front of you or do I need to d	
18 the first modeling and the second modeling, then I would 18 that out of the stack?	-
19 have to check with him to make sure that we you know, 19 A I think it's right here.	
20 exactly how we did it at this point. 20 Q Do you recognize what starts in TexCom	
21 Q You just referenced "what our instructions 21 Exhibit 6, Page 239?	
22 were." Who gave you those instructions? 22 A Yes, ma'am.	
23 A They came from the SOAH Judges. 23 Q And is that more or less what I would cal	l an
24 Q And did any of those instructions mention in 24 instruction manual for the BOAST model?	
25 any way using an infinite-acting outer boundary? 25 A Yes, ma'am.	
298	300
1 A I don't remember exactly what the instructions 1 Q Can you look at Page 266 of TexCom Exhibi	
2 were. I know what the intent was, but as to what the 2 A Yes, ma'am.	
3 actual words are, you know, I don't know exactly what 3 Q Are you familiar with the information that	t
4 they said. 4 starts on Page 266?	
5 Q Are those instructions written down anywhere? 5 A It's a discussion of an aquifer model.	
6 A I suppose they are; yes, ma'am. 6 Q Did you use any of the aquifer models in	the
7 Q Did you look at something to figure out what 7 discussion that starts on Page 266 as the boundary	
8 your instructions were? 8 condition in your current model that you're testif	ying
9 A We discussed with the lawyers as to what we 9 about?	
10 needed to do, and we had made the changes. 10 A I don't know exactly how he modeled the company is a second of the company in the company is a second of	uter
11 Q That wasn't my question. 11 edge.	
My question was did you look at something 12 Q You are unaware of the boundary condition	that
13 to determine what your instructions were? 13 you are submitting here as part of your testimony	here
14 A Yes. We got instructions from the I forget 14 today?	
15 what they call it, but what we were instructed to do by 15 A No. That's not what I said. I said I'm	not
16 the hearing Judges. 16 aware of exactly how he modeled the outer condition	n.
17 Q So it was a piece of paper? 17 Q So did he use what they reference here as	a pot
18 A Yes, ma'am. I don't remember what term you use 18 aquifer?	
19 but 19 A I don't know, ma'am.	
1	
Q You believe that that piece of paper instructed 20 Q Did he use a steady-state aquifer?	
Q You believe that that piece of paper instructed 20 Q Did he use a steady-state aquifer? 21 you to change your model to an infinite-acting outer 21 A As I stated, I don't know which method he	used
	used
21 you to change your model to an infinite-acting outer 21 A As I stated, I don't know which method he	used
21 you to change your model to an infinite-acting outer 22 boundary? 21 A As I stated, I don't know which method he 22 boundary?	

301	303
1 A Yes, ma'am.	1 Q Is that because the rock in the formation has
2 Q Do you have a general understanding of what	2 changed?
3 shale smearing is?	3 A It's the
4 A Yes, ma'am.	4 Q I'm sorry. Is that because the rock in the
5 Q What is the net amount of shale in the lower	5 formation has changed?
6 Cockfield?	6 A Potentially; yes, ma'am.
7 A That net number? I couldn't tell you, ma'am.	7 MS. MENDOZA: If I can just have a moment,
8 Q Do you know what the net amount of sand is in	8 I'll see if I've got anything else.
9 the lower Cockfield?	9 (Brief pause)
10 A I believe it's in our application somewhere,	10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) On WDW410, where is the
11 but off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you.	11 packer set?
12 Q Yesterday when you had to when you left and	12 A I would have to look that up on the wellbore
13 consulted with somebody about the rates of investigation	13 log.
14 formula, who did you speak with?	14 Q Does 5,108 feet sound about right?
15 A Dr. Mark Layne.	15 MR. RILEY: Objection. I think that it's
16 Q Did Mark Layne originally perform that	16 appropriate for the witness, since he said he'd need to
17 calculation for you?	17 look at reference material, to either counsel calls
18 A Yes, ma'am.	18 attention to a document, rather than asking, "Does this
19 Q How many fall-off tests do you believe that you	19 sound about right?" I don't think that leads to much
20 would need to run to come up with an accurate or	20 useful testimony in the case.
21 reliable permeability number for the lower Cockfield?	21 JUDGE WALSTON: Well, he can say if that
22 A I couldn't tell you, ma'am. Permeability is an	22 refreshes his memory or not, and if it doesn't and you
23 estimated value.	23 need to look at something, let us know.
24 Q Do you have a reliable permeability number for	24 A As I said, you know, I need to look at the
25 the lower Cockfield?	25 wellbore log.
302	304
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500.	$304 \\ 1 \text{Q} \text{(BY MS. MENDOZA)} \text{Mr. Casey, let me see if} \\ 2 \text{maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because}$
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield?	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number?	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield.	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number?	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct?
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am.	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20?
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield?	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14?
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests.	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct?	1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"?
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am.	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am.
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave
302 1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that 19 you got different permeabilities at different times?	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave 19 it?
1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that 19 you got different permeabilities at different times? 20 A Yes. I've seen that in a number of wells.	304 1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave 19 it? 20 A I believe so; yes, ma'am.
1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that 19 you got different permeabilities at different times? 20 A Yes. I've seen that in a number of wells. 21 Q So I test a well today and I get one	1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave 19 it? 20 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 21 Q And do you believe today that the packer in
1 A We have a fall-off test that says 190.6. We 2 have core data that shows higher than 500. 3 Q I think my question was do you have a reliable 4 number for the permeability in the lower Cockfield? 5 Do you have a reliable number? 6 A I believe both those are reliable estimates of 7 permeability in the lower Cockfield. 8 Q Do you believe 80.9 is a reliable number? 9 A It is an estimation; yes, ma'am. 10 Q My question is do you believe that 80.9 is a 11 reliable number for the permeability in the lower 12 Cockfield? 13 A At that point in time; yes, ma'am. It is the 14 number that was generated by fall-off tests. 15 Q And you testified yesterday that permeability 16 is a function of time. Correct? 17 A I don't believe I said that; no, ma'am. 18 Q You testified was your testimony, then, that 19 you got different permeabilities at different times? 20 A Yes. I've seen that in a number of wells. 21 Q So I test a well today and I get one 22 permeability and I test a well tomorrow and I get I	1 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Mr. Casey, let me see if 2 maybe we can just go a little bit quicker there because 3 I'm not sure that I'm positive where I can lay my hands 4 on a wellbore drawing at the moment. 5 Let me show you what is TexCom Exhibit 49. 6 I'm going to reference you to Page 20 of that. I 7 believe that this is your prefiled testimony in the 8 first hearing in this case. Is that correct? 9 A Yes, ma'am. 10 Q Can you look at Page 20? 11 A Okay. 12 Q And will you look at page Lines 13 through 13 14? 14 A Okay. 15 Q Does that say, "Packer set at 5,108 feet in 16 Wells WDW410 or WDW315"? 17 A Yes, ma'am. 18 Q And was that testimony correct when you gave 19 it? 20 A I believe so; yes, ma'am. 21 Q And do you believe today that the packer in 22 Well WDW410 is set at 5,108 feet?

305	307
1 MR. RILEY: Objection. Was that a	1 was offered as.
2 question?	2 Q Okay. Do you remember giving some public
3 MS. MENDOZA: No. I will withdraw the	3 interest opinions in the first testimony?
4 comment.	4 A Honestly, sir, I mean, it's possible.
5 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) If you could, take a look at	5 Q Okay.
6 Page 23 in your testimony.	6 A I just don't remember.
7 JUDGE WALSTON: His previous testimony	7 Q Fair enough. Since your testimony in the first
8 or	8 hearing, have you been asked or have you looked at
9 MS. MENDOZA: I'm sorry.	9 any public interest issues in regards to the TexCom
10 Q (BY MS. MENDOZA) Page 23 in Exhibit 49, your	10 facilities?
11 previous testimony, Lines 26 through 27, you're	11 A No, sir; not that I can recall.
12 discussing that the packer should be set right above the	12 Q Just to refresh your recollection, do you
13 injection interval. Is that correct?	13 recall saying, "TexCom's proposed facility will provide
14 A That's correct.	14 a safe, efficient, risk-reducing wastewater disposal
15 Q What is the top of your injection interval in	15 service that will serve in-state generators of
16 Well WDW410?	16 nonhazardous waste, and I believe it is, therefore, in
17 A The injection interval?	17 the public interest"?
18 Q Yes. The injection interval.	18 A Yes, sir.
19 A I'm trying to think where to find it. Off the	19 Q That's Page 54 of TexCom Exhibit 49.
20 top of my head, I can't remember the exact depths.	20 A Yes, sir.
21 Q Is the top of your injection interval basically	21 Q Have you looked at any issues in regards to
22 the top of the lower Cockfield?	22 what you were referring to in that section I just read
23 A Yes, ma'am.	23 to you since the last testimony you gave?
24 Q And you've testified before that the top of the	24 A As far as the need for disposal capacity
25 lower Cockfield is at 6,045 feet. Is that correct?	25 O Yes.
306	~
1 A Yes, that's correct.	308 1 A in the state?
2 Q And your packer is set approximately 900 feet	2 O Yes.
3 above the top of your injection internal?	3 A Yes, sir. I worked on a couple of projects for
4 A That's correct.	4 a couple of municipalities where they
5 MS. MENDOZA: If I can have just one	5 Q I'm sorry. Let me just to clarify, just
6 moment to make sure I don't have anything else to	6 with regard to TexCom.
7 cover	7 MR. RILEY: Well, let him finish the
8 (Brief pause)	8 answer.
9 MS. MENDOZA: Thank you, Mr. Casey. We	9 MR. FORSBERG: I was trying to save
10 pass the witness.	10 because I wasn't I was just asking about the TexCom
11 JUDGE WALSTON: Okay. The individual	11 facility. He can
12 protestants, Mr. Forsberg?	12 JUDGE WALSTON: I'll allow him to clarify
13 MR. FORSBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.	13 his question.
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION	14 Q (BY MR. FORSBERG) I'm just asking in regards
15 BY MR. FORSBERG:	15 to the TexCom facility, have you looked at any of the
16 Q Morning, Mr. Casey.	
TO ♥ MOTHERY, ME. Casey.	116 public interest factors with reserve to the need for
17 A Morning	16 public interest factors with regards to the need for
17 A Morning.	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County?
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not.
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of 20 what's been talked about over the last couple of hours,	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony 20 yesterday?
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of 20 what's been talked about over the last couple of hours, 21 but it's good to learn.	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony 20 yesterday? 21 A Yes, I was.
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of 20 what's been talked about over the last couple of hours, 21 but it's good to learn. 22 You were offered in the first hearing as	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony 20 yesterday? 21 A Yes, I was. 22 Q Did you hear him testify about the hours of
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of 20 what's been talked about over the last couple of hours, 21 but it's good to learn. 22 You were offered in the first hearing as 23 also providing some expertise on public interest issues.	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony 20 yesterday? 21 A Yes, I was. 22 Q Did you hear him testify about the hours of 23 operation, as he understood them, for the TexCom
18 Q I apologize if a couple of these questions were 19 already asked. I, frankly, haven't understood most of 20 what's been talked about over the last couple of hours, 21 but it's good to learn. 22 You were offered in the first hearing as	17 this specific facility in Montgomery County? 18 A No, I have not. 19 Q Were you present for Mr. Bost's testimony 20 yesterday? 21 A Yes, I was. 22 Q Did you hear him testify about the hours of

309 311 Do you recall that to be approximately -- I 1 location of where materials are going to be coming from 2 think he said around 16 hours was his understanding. 2 that are going to be injected into the well? A I believe that's what he said; yes, sir. A No. sir. Q Do you have any reason to dispute or do you Q Do you have any opinion as to whether or not 5 have any information that suggests that that 16-hour 5 TexCom -- the proposed TexCom facility would accept 6 number is incorrect? 6 waste from outside of Montgomery County? A None that I know of. ${\tt A}\,{\tt I}\,$ don't know where the waste comes from, sir. O Has anyone at TexCom ever advised you as to how O Do you agree with me that you previously 9 many hours a day that facility is proposed to operate? 9 testified -- and I can show it to you if you need me to, 10 or if this refreshes your recollection, that the TexCom Q Do you remember testifying that you -- at 11 facility will serve in-state generators? A Yes, I believe that's what I said. 12 Page 38 of Exhibit 49 that you say, "I have rarely 12 13 observed a Class I UIC well operator that operates for 13 Q Okay. When you said that, were you referring 14 more than eight to ten hours per day"? Do you recall 14 to only in-state generators within Montgomery County? A No. It was a general in -- anywhere within the 15 saying that? A Yes, sir. 16 state of Texas. Q Do you have a different opinion about Class I 17 Q So would you agree with me that it's likely 18 UIC well operators today as you did when you gave this 18 that TexCom will be getting materials from outside of 19 Montgomery County injected in the facility? 19 testimony? A It is possible; yes, sir. 20 A Well, you know, a Class I operator is going to 20 21 operate the number of hours they need to to handle the Q When you say "possible," is that more than 22 amount of waste that they get on any given day. I have 22 50 percent likely? Can you narrow it down a little bit? A No. Without -- you know, that's all, you know, 23 facilities that I've worked with that at sometimes of 23 24 the year, they're eight hours a day and sometimes 24 in operation of the facility and who they talk to and 25 they're 15 to 18 to 20 hours a day. It just depends on 25 who sends them the waste. It's nothing that I have any 1 the workload, per se, of waste being sent to the 1 knowledge of. 2 facility. O Do you have your prefiled testimony from this 3 Q And you have observed hours of operation at 3 remand hearing in front of you, Exhibit 84? 4 approximately how many facilities? A I would say at least five, if not six Q If you could, turn to Page 5, please. 6 facilities. A Okav. Q Have you ever seen a facility operate at 16 The second line it states, "As I previously 8 hours a day, 365 days a year? 8 testified, the fault movement probably caused smearing A No, sir. Can I clarify that? 9 of the clay on the formation." 10 Can you be any more specific as to what A It depends on the type of facility, but I have 11 you mean by "probably"? 12 seen facilities that operate 24 hours a day seven days a 12 A Well, not really. I mean, it's -- you know, I 13 week. 13 can't see 6,000 feet below ground but --Q How about a Class I UIC facility? Q Thanks for clarifying. 14 Yes, sir; Class I UIC facilities that operate A It's a little tough. But, you know, when you 16 24 hours a day. 16 have a shale layer moving as a sand, you will have 17 Q Seven days a week? 17 grains of shale that get caught in the grains of the Seven days a week. 18 sandstone. So it -- you know, it's like rubbing chalk O Do you have any reason to believe that the 19 on concrete. It leaves a mark basically. 20 TexCom facility is going to operate at that rate? 20 Okay. Do we have any idea of how thick that A I know they would love to in order to make that 21 mark is? 22 much money but, you know, in reality, most work will be 22 A No, sir. 23 done during the, you know, ten- to 16-hour range. 23 So does "probably" mean more than 50 percent 24 Q Do you have any information or has anyone from 24 likely or anywhere between zero and 100 percent likely? 25 TexCom ever provided you any information as to the 25 A Anywhere between zero and 100, depending

313 315 1 exactly where you are on the face of the fault. 1 migration. So there are just as likely places that have no And that's a fairly specific picture of what's 3 clay smearing as there are places that have a high 3 going on down there. As I recall, you can't physically 4 degree of clay smearing? 4 see down there. Right? A That's the potential; yes, sir. A Right. But the log shows a number of shale Q And if there are places that have zero clay 6 sand sequences inside each member of the Cockfield 7 smearing, then that would not serve as any inhibition to 7 formation, and just like -- you know, as an example, in 8 a wastestream traveling through it? 8 the upper Cockfield, there's, you know, like, six A Correct. 9 Cockfield sands where they have identified various 10 producing intervals, and so the little shale lenses I'm just trying to clarify in my own mind. You 11 believe that a wastestream could -- you believe there is 11 between those intervals have prevented the oil from 12 horizontal transmissivity at the 4,400 fault? 12 migrating upward over time. Yes, sir. So there's a way that you could confirm that Q Within that belief, do you believe that 14 lack of migration in those areas? 15 material could migrate, then, up from the lower 15 A Not specifically; no, sir. 16 Cockfield to the middle to the upper? Q Although the permeability, I believe you MR. RILEY: Objection. I think -- I 17 testified, becomes less and less likely that the 18 apologize, counsel. "Material," are we talking 18 injected material could travel from the lower to the 19 injectate or other --19 upper because the permeability is different. Correct? A Can you restate your question? It's not clear. 20 MR. FORSBERG: Yes. MR. RILEY: I think that's important to be Q I believe you stated that if the fluid got to 22 clear on this answer. 22 the fault, the injected fluid, that it could 23 horizontally be transmissive through the fault line and MR. FORSBERG: Fair enough. 2.4 O (BY MR. FORSBERG) Do you believe, then, that 24 that it could potentially go up into the middle 25 Class I UIC material, if it reached the fault, which I'm 25 Cockfield, but you doubt it because of the permeability 1 not saying you agree that it would, but if it reached 1 of the middle Cockfield? 2 the fault, it could travel horizontally from the lower A Right. With the higher permeability of the 3 to the middle and to the upper Cockfield? 3 middle Cockfield, any of the pressure that you would A I believe, you know, if -- like you said, I 4 have to push it upwards dissipates. 5 don't believe the waste will reach the fault, but if it Q So what's it's going to depend -- with the 6 did, it would go horizontally across the fault. 6 increased permeability on the injectable material or 7 Vertical permeability is significantly less than 7 injected material from traveling north is going to be 8 horizontal, so the upper migration would be, you know, a 8 the pressure that's being exerted on that injected 9 lot harder to achieve once you've reached the higher 9 material? 10 permeability sands of the middle Cockfield. A Right. You've got the pressure on the Q But would you agree with me that there would be 11 material, and then you have the vertical permeability 12 that inhibits upper flow. 12 no shale layer to protect at the fault from the 13 migration from the lower to the middle Cockfield? Q Have you done any calculations or investigation 14 A You have a shale layer at the top of the middle 14 into how Denbury's proposed operations may affect 15 pressure on the lower and middle Cockfield at the 4,400 15 Cockfield. 16 Q But there is a gap at the fault between the 16 fault? 17 shale where the fault has slipped? 17 A No. I don't have the various pressure Right. But there is -- you know, your vertical 18 informations. 19 permeability even inside the sandstone is less than 19 Q Would that be something you would want to 20 consider if it was shown that Denbury's operations would 20 horizontal permeability, and as has been stated in the 21 record is that the sand layers are not just pure sand. 21 create increased pressure? 22 There's shale and sand mixed, and so you get -- your Would that be significant to you? 23 vertical permeability is significantly less. So you A Their increased pressure could cause our -- you 24 have all these individual little sand lenses -- or 24 know, inhibit our flow from going upward. Their 25 excuse me -- shale lenses that are preventing the upward 25 increased pressure would cause pressure downward over

317 319 1 time. A I don't believe the pressure sink at the top of As to how it would affect us, I don't 2 the upper Cockfield would have any impact below the 3 believe there will be a net effect. I don't believe 3 middle Cockfield. 4 $\,$ Q $\,$ But, again, you don't have any information with 4 their pressure will impact us at our injection zone --5 or excuse me -- injection interval. 5 regards to Denbury's potential activities at the site Q Now, you provided some testimony in regards to 6 and how that might affected those pressures? 7 a plume. Is that correct? A No specific numbers; no, sir. O Could you turn to Page 11 of your prefiled A Yes, sir. O What is the plume? 9 testimony, Exhibit 84, please? 10 The plume is the injected waste fluid in the 11 injection interval. Q On Lines 19 through 21, you state, Q Is it sort of the pool that gets created 12 "Additionally, as of the early 1930s, the standard 13 practice for abandoning oil wells was to plug them with 13 underground of the injection material? A Right. It's the waste that displaces the 14 cement. Therefore, regardless of any particular well 15 native brine that's in the formation. 15 depths, if it is abandoned, it is almost certainly 16 plugged with cement." Q Is that pool a perfect circle? A Not specifically. It will be, you know, the 17 A That's what it says; yes, sir. 18 semblance of a circle. O How do you come to that conclusion, that it was Q Are there any sorts of channels, streams that 19 almost certainly plugged with cement? A Just from historical work in the oil field and 20 20 run through the plume? 21 review of plugging records and re-entering old wells. A Not that I know of. Q If there were channels or streams or anything O Can you explain to me what "almost certainly" 23 running through the plume, would that be of concern? 23 means in your mind? A It means there's a reasonable -- what's the 24 A If there was a specific channel that -- you 25 know, you would have to see if it made a difference on 25 best way to say this? 320 If the wells were there and they were 1 where the waste went to. O A channel running or a pathway, it may create 2 plugged, they were most likely plugged with cement. 3 a -- excuse me. 3 Q If you could, turn to Page 14 for me of A channel could create a pathway for the 4 Exhibit 84. At Line 18, you state, "Despite the lack of 5 injected material to travel somewhere outside of the 5 well records" -- you would agree that there is a lack of 6 plume. Is that correct? 6 well records for many of the wells in the area of the Right. If you had an area of higher perm, 7 TexCom facility. Correct? 8 there's potential you could move a little further that A You know, I would have to go back and look, but 9 direction, but it wouldn't be a significant difference. 9 I believe we located almost all the well records. Have you done any calculations or investigation O Well, you state there's some lack of well 11 to account for the potential -- potentiality of channels 11 records. That's your testimony? 12 A There are a few that are missing, yes. 12 running through the plume? A Based on the geology work done by Dr. Langhus, 13 O You state on Line 20 that the Conroe oil field 14 we haven't seen any changes, per se. 14 has had a single operator for its entire existence. Is 15 that correct? O Do you know what a pressure sink is? 16 A In basic terms, yes. 16 A Correct. Yes, sir. O What is that, as you understand it? 17 O Where do you get your information for that It's just an area of low pressure. 18 statement? O Do you know if a pressure sink could cause the 19 A It came from the unitization work done by Exxon 20 back in -- I believe it was the '70s. 20 differential to push material or injected material up, 21 as a opposed to down? 21 O So the Conroe oil field existed since when? A With the vertical perm issue, it depends on how 22 A I believe it was first drilled in the '30s. 23 much -- you know, are you specifically talking about it Q So you're saying that the Conroe oil field had 23 24 at the TexCom facility? 24 one operator since the '30s? 25 A It's had a few initial operators, and then 25 O Yes.

321 323 1 Exxon basically took over the field. I don't remember 1 up -- I mean, Exxon had operations prior to unitization. 2 the exact date when Exxon took over most of the 2 I don't remember the exact dates. 3 operations. 3 Q If you could, turn to Page 13, please, of Q So your testimony on Line 20 of Page 14 that 4 Exhibit 84. You make reference to four wells not 5 completed in the upper Cockfield, C-57, C-82, C-461 and 5 the Conroe oil field has had a single operator for its 6 entire existence is incorrect? A Specifically, yes. I mean, it's -- for the A Yes, sir. 8 life of the field -- or a few guys initially drilled a O And you conclude that they are dry holes that 9 few wells, but Exxon has pretty much run the field since 9 were plugged with cement? 10 10 it became a true oil field. Q Is Exxon currently running the field? 11 Q Are those -- were those plugged correctly with A No, they do not. 12 cement? How long has it been since Exxon has run the 13 As far as I know, they were, sir. 14 field? 14 O What have you done to confirm how they were 15 A I don't remember when Wapati bought the field 15 plugged? 16 16 from Exxon. I don't remember the exact date. It was a A I mean, we pulled well records, and they showed 17 few years ago, and then since then, Denbury has bought 17 to be plugged with cement so --18 out Wapati's operations. 18 Q Would it be at all important as to the depth of Q Okay. Would you agree with me that Wapati and 19 those plugs? 20 Exxon are different operators? 20 A Yes. Why would the depth of those plugs be A Yes, they are. 0 O And you knew that when you said that the Conroe 22 important? 23 oil field has had a single operator for its entire 23 A Well, you want to make sure that they're 24 existence? 24 plugged at the upper Cockfield and above the upper 25 A Well, "single" as in it's been -- when it's 25 Cockfield and below the freshwater. 322 1 Q What have you done to confirm that C-57, C-82, 1 been operated, it's just been one company. I'm not 2 saying, like, Exxon is -- you know, Exxon, Wapati and 2 C-461 and Well RM-5 are plugged as you just stated above 3 Denbury, they all operate the whole field. That's the 3 the upper Cockfield? 4 intent of the statement. It's not intended to say just 4 $\,$ A $\,$ Specifically I don't remember exactly what I $\,$ 5 one guy has run it the whole time -- one company. It's 5 have done. I mean, I could look at the well records 6 unitized operations under one company. 6 but --Q How long has it been unitized? Q If evidence showed that those cement plugs in A I believe unitization was in the '70s. I 8 those wells were not plugged above the upper Cockfield 9 believe. 9 but were plugged, say, within one of the USDWs, would O You've reviewed the well records in the area of 10 that have concern for you? A Depends on where the well is exactly located as 11 review. Correct? A Yes, sir. 12 to whether it would be of concern. Q I mean, would it surprise you if there are 1.3 O A well within the area of review. 14 dozens of operators listed on the various well records? 14 A Well, based on our belief that the fault is 15 nontransmissive in our area of review, from a pressure A No. There's a number of companies listed. Q Would it surprise you if it's dozens? 16 standpoint is significantly less than two and a half A I don't know the exact number; no, sir. 17 miles. So wells out two and a half miles would not be But you still felt comfortable to say that the 18 of a concern. 19 Conroe oil field had a single operator for its entire 19 Q Do you know where Wells C-57, 82, 461 and MR-5 20 are located? 20 existence? A Off the top of my head; no, sir. A For the bulk of its existence; yes, sir, there 21 22 has been single operator. 22 Q Are they indicated in any of the maps in your So from the '70s to now is, I guess, the bulk 23 report? 24 of its existence? 24 A I believe they're the maps that went with the A I don't remember exactly when Exxon picked 25 well records.

		325			327
1	Q	Do you have that in front of you?	1	Q	Did the proposed Denbury operations change the
2	A	Possibly.	2	pressure	es?
3		MR. RILEY: While everyone is shuffling,	3	A	I don't know what their pressures are going to
4 1	'm goin	g to take my leave since we're close to the noon	4	be addin	ng to the formation, so I can't speculate at this
5 ho	our, so	thank you, Judge.	5	point in	n time.
6	Q	(BY MR. FORSBERG) Did you locate a well map?	6	Q	But could it change it?
7	A	Yes, I did.	7	A	I don't think they will have an effect in the
8	Q	Can you locate on that map Wells C-57, 82 and	8	lower Co	ockfield where we're going to be injecting.
9 46	61?		9	Q	And what do you base that opinion on?
10		(Brief pause)	10	A	Just the vertical permeabilities and the high
11	Q	(BY MR. FORSBERG) Have you located a couple of	11	permeab	ility zone they're injecting into.
12 th	hose we	lls?	12		Are we done with the map?
13	A	I've got a couple of them.	13	Q	Yes. Back at the bottom bottom of Page 14
14	Q	Let's just start with the ones you found.	14	of Exhil	bit 84, beginning on Line 21, mid-sentence, you
15 Wh	hich nu	mbers?	15	say, "Th	here was a strong economic" and then you
16	A	I've got 57 or I had 57. Where did it go?	16	continue	e to Page 15 "incentive to plug deeper, dry
17	Q	Approximately how far from the proposed TexCom	17	holes ba	ack to the upper Cockfield so as to prevent the
18 fa	acility	is C-57?	18	inward i	flow of brine from the lower zones."
19	A	Hang on. I lost it again. I would say	19	A	Correct.
20 ag	pproxim	ately two miles would be my guess.	20	Q	What material are you using or relying upon to
21	Q	Would you have any concern about the cement	21	make tha	at statement?
22 pl	lugging	depth on C-57 based upon its distance from the	22	A	Well, if you had a producing well that was open
23 pi	roposed	TexCom facility?	23	to a lov	wer zone, you could potentially pull brine from
24	A	Not based on, you know, our original modeling;	24	there ra	ather than oil from your upper zone.
25 nc	o, sir.		25	Q	Well, did you do any investigation into the
25 110					
23 110		326			328
1	Q	Would anything other than your modeling cause			328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear
1 2 ye	ou conc	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern?	2	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records?
1 2 yo	ou conc	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that	2	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir.
1 2 yo	ou conc A istance	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that , so I would see no concern with the well.	2 3 4	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of
1 2 yo 3 4 di	ou conc A istance Q	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at?	2 3 4 5	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of -pop operations that were drilling wells in
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6	ou conc A istance Q A	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that , so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82.	2 3 4 5 6	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of -pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field?
1 2 ye 3 4 di 5 6 7	ou conc A istance Q A Q	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at?	2 3 4 5 6 7	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them.
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-	ou conc A istance Q A Q	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of -pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field?
1 2 yc 3 4 dd 5 5 6 7 8 C-9	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82?	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	on various A Q mom-and-the '30s A Q holes?	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of -pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry
1 2 yo 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	on various A Q mom-and-the '30s A Q holes? A	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	on varied A Q mom-and- the '30: A Q holes? A	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C- 9 10 11 de 12 pi	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	on various A Q mom-and-the '30: A Q holes? A were prowouldn's	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you t make any oil if you didn't pull water.
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on vario	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you t make any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually
1 2 you 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 no	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir.	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on varie A Q mom-and the '30s A Q holes? A were pro wouldn's	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes?
1 2 yc 3 4 dd 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on varie A Q mom-and- the '30: A Q holes? A were pro wouldn't Q plugged A	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice.
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pi 13 14 nc 15 16	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on varied A Q mom-and- the '30s A Q holes? A were pro wouldn's Q plugged A Q	328 cs of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you that make any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field?
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15 16 17 th	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on vario	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you t make any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes.
1 2 you 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 no 15 16 17 th 18 wo	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it t be a well of concern at that point.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on vario	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of poperations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the
1 2 ycc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15 16 17 tr 18 wc 19	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it to be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on varie A Q mom-and- the '300 A Q holes? A were pro wouldn't Q plugged A Q A Q Conroe of	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception?
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C- 9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15 16 17 th 18 wc 19 20 ak	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no Q bout ce	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it to be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern ment plugs within wells?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on varied A Q mom-and- the '30: A Q holes? A were pro wouldn't Q plugged A Q Conroe of	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception? Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you.
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C- 9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15 16 17 th 18 wc 19 20 ak 21	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no Q bout ce	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it to be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern ment plugs within wells? Well, it would be the distance away from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on varied A Q mom-and- the '30s A Q holes? A were pro wouldn's Q plugged A Q Conroe of A Q	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception?
1 2 yc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C- 9 10 11 de 12 pi 13 14 nc 15 16 17 th 18 wc 19 20 ah 21 22 we	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no Q bout ce A ell tha	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it to be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern ment plugs within wells? Well, it would be the distance away from the town or I would have to look at the original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on various A Q mom-and-the '30s A Q holes? A were provided A Q plugged A Q Conroe of A Q is?	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of poperations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you to make any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception? Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you. So how do you know what their standard practice
1 2 your 3 4 different 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 no 15 16 17 th 18 wor 19 20 ak 21 22 we 23 ag	ou conc A iistance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no Q bout ce A ell tha pplicat	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it t be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern ment plugs within wells? Well, it would be the distance away from the t we I would have to look at the original ion, but the cone of influence pressure that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on vario	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of pop operations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception? Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you. So how do you know what their standard practice
1 2 ycc 3 4 di 5 6 7 8 C-9 10 11 de 12 pr 13 14 nc 15 16 17 tr 18 wc 19 20 ar 12 22 we 23 ar 24 ca 24 ca 24 ca 25 15 16 17 tr 15 17	ou conc A istance Q A Q -82? A Q epth of roposed A o, sir. Q A hat dis ould no Q bout ce A ell tha pplicat alculat	Would anything other than your modeling cause ern? We're not going to have any effect at that, so I would see no concern with the well. What other well did you look at? C-82. How far from the proposed TexCom facility is Just under a mile. Would you have any concern about the well plug C-82 in relation to its distance from the TexCom facility? No, not based on our initial modeling effort; How about based upon any other reason? Based on modeling, we don't have an effect at tance to cause upward flow into the USDW, so it to be a well of concern at that point. At what distance do you begin to have concern ment plugs within wells? Well, it would be the distance away from the town or I would have to look at the original	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on various A Q mom-and-the '30s A Q holes? A were provided A Q plugged A Q Conroe of A Q is?	as of any of the dozens of entities that appear ous well records? No, sir. Do you have any understanding of the sort of appoparations that were drilling wells in s, '40s and '50 and '60s in the Conroe oil field? General information on them. Does it cost money to fill those holes, the dry It costs money to plug them, yes, but if you oducing and you didn't plug the lower zone, you thake any oil if you didn't pull water. But do we know if those companies actually those holes? That was pretty much standard practice. For who, the single operator in the oil field? Or operators, yes. How many operators can you identify from the oil field since its inception? Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell you. So how do you know what their standard practice Standard oil field practice was to plug wells. Do you know if some wells have never been

329 1 A I'm sure there's a few that's slipped through	331 1 readings and saw the pressure differential between the
2 the cracks over time.	2 sampling points.
3 Q Further down on Page 15, starting on Line 7,	3 Q So is that a published study?
4 you say that, "There were abandoned wells that had been	4 A I believe so. Off the top of my head, I don't
5 drilled through the Jackson formation and that lacked	5 remember the name of it. That was back when I was a
6 adequate casing and were not plugged with cement. They	6 very young engineer. 7 O So other than the study that you can't recall
7 would not have been able to withstand the pressures	
8 exerted by the surrounding mud stone in the Jackson	8 the name of, is there any other information on which
9 formation and would have collapsed in a matter of	9 you're relying on for that opinion?
10 years." Is that your opinion?	10 A Just problems drilling through shale over time.
11 A Yes, sir.	11 It tends to slough off on you in a lot of cases.
12 Q On what information do you base that opinion?	12 Q How many times have you dug through the shale
13 A There's been some borehole closure work done by	13 in the Conroe oil field?
14 EPA back in the '80s that I was partially involved with	14 A I have not drilled a well in the Conroe oil
15 with the company I was with, and they actually went into	15 field.
16 wells and put pressure sensors down in there and then	16 MR. FORSBERG: Pass the witness, Your
17 did measurements to kind of monitor borehole closure to	17 Honors. Thank you.
18 see what the effect was, and the general answer was, you	18 JUDGE WALSTON: Mr. Walker?
19 know, in a thick shale formation such as the Jackson	19 MR. WALKER: I do have some questions,
20 shale, the holes would close in over time.	20 Your Honors. I expect it's going to last more than
21 Q Were those boreholes out of the Conroe oil	21 three minutes.
22 field?	22 JUDGE WALSTON: How long do you think it
23 A No. The study was not done in the Conroe oil	23 will last?
24 field.	24 MR. WALKER: Well, not too awfully long,
25 Q Have you done anything in the Conroe oil field	25 maybe 15 or 20. I apologize but
330	332
	332
1 to confirm that any wells have caved in on themselves	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine.
1 to confirm that any wells have caved in on themselves 2 below the cement plugging?	
-	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine.
2 below the cement plugging?	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not.	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter)
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse?	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to 6 have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year.	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to 6 have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be 7 to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer?	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir.	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in?	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today ue to Mr. Riley's conflict.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to 6 have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be 7 to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have 8 to come back tomorrow anyway. 9 JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as 10 we discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today 11 due to Mr. Riley's conflict. 12 So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as Use discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye'll go off the record.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as Under we discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at Me'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.)
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you.	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today ue to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye're going to recess for today they we're going to recess for today
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as we discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today they due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.)
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material?	1 JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. 2 MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I 3 began to understand. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to 6 have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be 7 to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have 8 to come back tomorrow anyway. 9 JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as 10 we discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today 11 due to Mr. Riley's conflict. 12 So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at 13 9 a.m. We'll go off the record. 14 (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) 15 16 17
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material? 18 A Over time, it would be close to the same	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye a.m. We'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) fine.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material? 18 A Over time, it would be close to the same 19 permeability. It would be a little less, but it would	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today ue to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) fine.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material? 18 A Over time, it would be close to the same 19 permeability. It would be a little less, but it would 20 still be significantly higher than a sand would be.	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today ue to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at ye'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) Me'll go off the record.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material? 18 A Over time, it would be close to the same 19 permeability. It would be a little less, but it would 20 still be significantly higher than a sand would be. 21 Q And over time, how much time are we talking	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as we discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today they due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at So we'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) Me'll go off the record.
2 below the cement plugging? 3 A No, I have not. 4 Q How long would it take for that event to occur 5 where they collapse? 6 A It's hard to say. In the studies that were 7 done, it was usually within a year. 8 Q Did it sometimes take longer? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Once the hole falls, I guess, how would you 11 describe it? Does it fall in on itself or cave in? 12 A Basically the walls would just, you know, start 13 sloughing off into the on itself until it you 14 know, it's like you dig a hole in the sand. It 15 eventually all falls back in on you. 16 Q Does the material that fills the hole have the 17 same permeability as the original material? 18 A Over time, it would be close to the same 19 permeability. It would be a little less, but it would 20 still be significantly higher than a sand would be. 21 Q And over time, how much time are we talking 22 about?	JUDGE WALSTON: That's fine. MR. WALKER: they got into areas that I began to understand. (Laughter) MR. LEE: Your Honor, we're also going to have some amount of redirect. Our suggestion would be to go ahead and stop now, and Mr. Casey is going to have to come back tomorrow anyway. JUDGE WALSTON: That will be fine. And as ue discussed yesterday, we're going to recess for today they due to Mr. Riley's conflict. So we'll recess and resume tomorrow at so a.m. We'll go off the record. (Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.) Proceedings recessed at 11:57 a.m.)

A	244:14	amount	246:22	290:4
	244:17	301:5	294:14	290:20
abandoned	adopt	301:8	306:18	292:18
319:15	287:20	309:22	313:18	293:5
329:4	adopted	332:6	331:25	293:13
abandoning	287:8	analysis	apparent	293:18
319:13	288:21	248:13	246:25	301:10
ability	289:21	249:21	apparently	326:23
266:1	Advances	250:1	250:22	326:24
able	248:13	250:14	287:4	applications
246:10	262:18	250:25	appear	245:6
255:24	263:21	251:1	328:1	appreciate
263:4	advised	251:8	appears	246:9
281:22	309:8	251:11	246:25	approach
329:7	advisement	251:14	applicant	250:6
above-ent	284:2	253:12	245:12	262:23
244:12	affect	261:23	application	278:7
accept	316:14	262:19	244:2	285:20
311:5	317:2	263:21	244:6	appropriate
account	affixed	274:18	249:25	282:9
271:15	292:18	274:24	250:3	303:16
318:11	afternoon	275:15	250:4	approved
accurate	247:4	275:17	250:11	289:21
259:2	247:11	276:8	251:5	approxima
259:18	agency	276:10	271:19	252:20
296:16	289:11	analyzed	272:5	255:25
301:20	290:2	251:7	273:3	271:16
achieve	293:19	answer	273:5	306:2
314:9	293:24	258:16	276:6	309:1
action	295:12	259:2	278:20	310:4
252:11	295:16	269:9	279:15	325:17
activities	296:16	282:8	279:16	325:20
319:5	ago 246:5	283:21	280:3	aquifer
actual	321:17	287:12	281:10	300:5
289:20	agree	294:12	282:3	300:6
298:3	248:18	308:8	282:7	300:18
adding	311:8	313:22	282:13	300:20
327:4	311:17	329:18	285:24	area
addition	314:1	answered	286:10	261:15
273:3	314:11	269:3	286:24	272:16
Additionally	320:5	269:5	287:3	292:10
319:12	321:19	269:6	287:10	292:12
address	ahead	270:10	287:18	318:7
246:24	332:7	270:20	287:24	318:18
adequate	ALJs	answering	289:10	320:6
329:6	246:13	263:11	289:12	322:10
adjourned	allow	answers	289:15	324:13
299:15	289:23	284:19	289:21	324:15
Administr	308:12	anyway	289:21	areal
244:4	amendment	332:8	289:23	296:10
244:8	273:3	apologize	290:1	areas

315:14	authors	251:13	282:15	292:3
332:2	263:16	318:16	288:1	319:25
argumenta	aware	basically	288:10	better
269:8	256:12	265:4	288:12	294:3
asked	256:15	273:20	288:14	big 256:21
245:12	300:16	273:22	292:1	256:24
269:3	300:23	305:21	297:9	binders
270:10	awfully	312:19	297:11	293:12
270:12	331:24	321:1	298:20	bit 255:18
270:23	axis	330:12	299:6	258:21
279:13	252:10	basis	299:10	280:20
280:24	252:14	283:18	299:15	304:2
282:14		Bates	301:10	311:22
283:24	ъ	246:9	301:19	black
306:19	В	began	302:6	253:6
307:8	back 249:9	332:3	302:8	253:7
asking	250:16	beginning	302:10	BOAST
258:12	253:21	246:7	302:17	278:13
270:20	254:2	327:14	304:7	278:14
277:3	255:19	begins	304:20	279:19
281:4	257:15	247:6	304:21	279:21
281:6	260:7	250:21	306:25	290:24
281:7	270:16	BEHALF	307:16	292:9
289:4	270:18	248:4	309:3	299:24
303:18	279:9	belaboring	310:19	book
308:10	286:22	246:22	311:12	248:12
308:14	293:14	belief	313:11	248:18
asks	320:8	295:14	313:11	248:19
289:15	320:20	295:18	313:14	249:6
assume	327:13	313:14	313:24	263:1
268:15	327:17	324:14	314:4	263:16
268:19	329:14	believe	314:5	263:21
268:25	330:15	249:6	315:16	265:7
269:13	331:5	250:2	315:21	books
280:8	332:8	254:13	317:3	248:22
304:23	base 327:9	256:11	317:3	248:25
304:25	329:12	257:18	319:1	borehole
assumed	330:24	257:22	320:9	329:13
268:17	based	259:25	320:20	329:17
268:22	246:25	260:3	320:22	boreholes
assumption	260:22	263:5	322:8	329:21
280:18	296:9	265:6	322:9	Bost's
asterisks	299:10	267:7	324:24	308:19
291:5	318:13	272:15	326:24	bottom
attend	324:14	272:18	326:25	252:11
247:9	325:22	272:20	331:4	285:3
247:14	325:24	274:15	believed	296:2
attention	326:13	275:13	295:12	327:13
303:18	326:15	278:17	295:16	327:13
Austin	326:16	281:11	best	bottomhole
244:15	basic	282:10	289:13	254:22

260:8	282:22	326:8	anaaa	326:1
	Brief	326:11	cases 331:11	326:17
bought 321:15	278:22			
321:15	293:25	calculate 257:24	Casey 245:14	caused 312:8
boundaries	303:9	262:6	245:14	
285:6		262:8	247:21	cave 330:11
288:7	306:8 325:10	262:13	247:24	
	brine			caved
288:18	257:25	264:17 266:8	248:6 248:10	330:1
288:23 289:8	260:7			cement 319:14
290:3	260:17	326:24 calculated	250:23 251:3	319:14
			252:24	
290:7	260:21	258:7		319:19
291:21	260:25	261:20	253:1	320:2
291:23	261:1	261:25	253:5	323:9
291:25	261:13	266:4	253:10	323:12
293:10	317:15	266:6	255:9	323:17
295:10	327:18	calculation	257:12	324:7
295:13	327:23	259:9	258:18	325:21
295:17	bringing	301:17	261:25	326:20
296:9	260:7	calculations		329:6
296:18	260:8	259:23	263:20	330:2
boundary	260:12	260:22	264:6	certain
286:13	brought	316:13	268:19	245:23
286:19	261:13	318:10	269:10	246:3
286:22	build	call	269:24	281:21
287:6	275:9	298:15	272:2	297:6
288:13	276:3	299:23	272:23	certainly
288:15	278:3	called	273:18	319:15
290:19	Building	255:14	278:12	319:19
292:2	244:15	263:21	278:19	319:22
292:4	built	300:24	279:12	Certified
296:13	291:14	calls	289:5	244:18
296:14	291:19	303:17	289:25	chalk
296:19	bulk	capacity	291:1	312:18
297:4	322:21	307:24	304:1	chance
297:7	322:23	care 258:3	306:9	249:13
297:25		careful	306:16	299:16
298:22	C	279:1	332:7	change
299:4	0.461	case 247:7	Casey's	266:11
299:7	C-461	252:22	281:11	274:2
299:9	323:5	253:3	281:16	284:18
299:13	324:2	265:21	casing	288:5
300:7	C-57 323:5	271:2	329:6	296:20
300:12	324:1	271:6	CATHERINE	298:21
300:22	324:19	275:11	244:16	298:23
bow 247:8	325:8	278:16	caught	327:1
boxes	325:18	279:22	312:17	327:6
282:25	325:22	281:5	cause	changed
break	C-82 323:5	288:15	316:23	282:17
278:24	324:1	303:20	316:25	284:7
279:7	326:6	304:8	318:19	288:9

303:2	circle	288:7	323:24	compared
303:5	317:16	288:15	323:25	260:16
changes	317:18	288:18	324:3	comparison
266:11	cited	288:23	324:8	268:2
283:9	248:23	289:7	327:8	complete
283:16	clarifica	290:3	327:0	290:15
283:18	277:2	292:1	Coder	294:13
283:19	clarify	293:9	244:18	294:22
283:22	260:5	295:9	collapse	295:2
283:24	308:5	295:13	330:5	completed
284:5	308:12	295:17	collapsed	323:5
284:6	310:9	296:14	329:9	completely
284:7	313:10	296:14	college	292:10
286:3	clarifying	290:18	248:19	complied
297:2	312:14	closure	combination	255:8
298:10	Class	329:13	275:3	263:3
318:14	309:13	329:13	come 247:2	concept
changing	309:13	Cockfield	249:9	256:15
273:24	309:20	254:14	301:20	concern
273:24	310:14	254:14	319:18	317:23
296:21	310:14	254:20	332:8	324:10
channel	313:25	254:23	comes	324:10
317:24	clay 312:9	254:23	245:19	324:12
317:24	313:3	257:4	311:7	325:21
318:4	313:4	269:22	comfortable	326:2
channels	313:6	269:23	322:18	326:4
317:19	clear	296:10	coming	326:4
317:19	245:13	301:6	291:12	326:18
317:22	245:13	301:0	311:1	326:19
chart	246:11	301:21	comma	concerning
245:25	251:4	301:25	272:12	245:6
chase	257:13	302:4	272:12	conclude
282:9	271:18	302:7	comment	323:8
283:21	276:24	302:7	246:16	conclusion
check	294:5	305:22	305:4	319:18
261:10	313:22	305:25	comments	concrete
261:14	315:20	313:16	285:16	312:19
297:19	Clements	314:3	COMMISSION	condition
choices	244:14	314:10	244:3	293:10
276:13	Cloninger	314:13	244:7	299:5
276:13	247:3	314:15	common	300:8
276:19	close	315:6	269:7	300:0
choose	325:4	315:8	companies	300:12
276:22	329:20	315:9	322:15	conditions
277:13	330:18	315:25	328:13	267:15
choosing	closed	316:1	company	267:17
277:15	285:5	316:3	260:17	290:19
chose	286:13	316:15	322:1	conduct
276:15	286:19	319:2	322:5	266:24
276:15	286:21	319:3	322:6	273:20
277:18	287:6	323:5	329:15	281:24
	207.0	243.3	727.13	701.71

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

conducted	328:6	copying	264:14	320:7
273:18	328:19	294:9	264:20	320:15
273:21	329:21	copyrighted	264:22	320:16
286:12	329:23	263:24	264:24	322:11
286:18	329:25	core	265:1	323:10
cone	331:13	249:10	265:5	327:19
326:23	331:14	249:11	265:6	correctly
conference	consider	249:14	265:15	248:14
247:2	316:20	249:22	265:25	281:11
247:9	constructed	250:1	266:5	323:11
247:14	304:24	250:14	266:12	correlate
confident	Construction	250:25	266:13	252:18
277:18	296:4	251:1	267:4	correlations
configura	consult	251:8	267:5	274:14
290:15	297:12	251:11	267:10	corresponds
configured	consulted	251:14	267:24	281:13
285:5	301:13	255:20	268:5	cost 328:8
286:18	contested	255:25	268:21	costs
286:21	247:5	256:6	269:18	328:10
288:7	context	256:8	273:11	counsel
288:12	289:22	256:9	276:17	246:9
288:22	continuation	256:16	277:20	289:4
289:7	245:5	256:18	277:21	289:11
290:3	continue	256:19	278:21	294:18
295:9	327:16	256:22	279:23	303:17
295:13	CONTINUED	257:1	280:5	313:18
295:17	248:5	302:2	280:17	counsel's
296:9	248:8	Corporation	285:6	294:6
296:23	continues	260:25	285:14	294:23
296:25	295:1	correct	287:9	County
confirm	CONTROL	248:20	290:21	249:19
246:6	244:4	248:24	291:17	308:17
246:11	copies	249:4	293:2	311:6
281:7	245:17	249:6	293:5	311:14
315:13	246:3	252:12	293:7	311:19
323:14	246:3	253:11	296:11	couple
324:1	294:18	253:16	296:12	245:9
330:1	294:25	253:17	296:22	270:19
conflict	сору	253:19	302:16	306:18
332:11	245:13	253:20	304:8	306:20
confusion	246:16	253:22	304:18	308:3
246:11	272:7	254:15	305:13	308:4
271:18	273:5	257:5	305:14	325:11
282:11	294:4	257:7	305:25	325:13
Conroe	294:6	257:8	306:1	course
320:13	294:7	257:20	306:4	251:9
320:21	294:13	258:1	306:24	252:1
320:23	294:17	260:13	313:9	281:23
321:5	294:21	260:14	315:19	cover
321:22	294:23	261:5	317:7	306:7
322:19	294:23	263:24	318:6	cracks

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

329:2	276:22	316:14	253:21	309:4
create	277:13	316:20	266:8	dissipates
316:21	277:16	319:5	270:13	316:4
318:2	277:23	density	270:15	distance
318:4	278:2	257:25	280:2	325:22
created	278:13	258:9	302:19	326:4
317:12	278:14	258:10	302:19	326:11
Creighton	279:14	259:8	302:24	326:17
246:14	280:14	259:24	309:17	326:19
cross-exa	281:1	depend	315:19	326:21
245:14	281:21	316:5	321:20	326:25
248:1	281:22	dependent	differential	
248:8	282:9	267:17	318:20	245:18
306:14	283:1	depending	331:1	divide
Crossroads	302:2	312:25	dig 299:17	258:25
249:19	date 246:5	depends	330:14	divided
current	321:2	309:25	directed	264:13
282:17	321:16	310:11	283:23	dividing
283:17	dated	318:22	284:6	257:24
300:8	246:15	324:11	291:12	Docket
currently	dates	depth	direction	244:1
255:11	323:2	254:3	318:9	244:1
321:11	day 244:12	254:5	directly	244:5
	309:9	254:7	283:2	244:6
D	309:14	254:9	discovery	245:5
_	309:22	254:11	281:23	document
data	309:24	323:18	281:24	245:18
245:24	309:25	323:21	discussed	249:24
245:24	310:8	325:22	245:14	295:2
246:1	310:12	326:11	298:9	303:18
246:4	310:16	depths	332:10	documents
246:6	days 310:8	253:16	discussing	257:14
246:8	310:12	305:20	305:12	275:10
246:10	310:17	319:15	discussion	doing
255:14	310:18	describe	245:25	260:19
255:15	decisions	330:11	261:16	dots 253:6
261:23	275:21	Despite	273:10	253:7
262:15	decrease	320:4	273:12	253:22
273:23	267:15	determine	273:14	253:24
274:5	deeper	283:17	300:5	doubt
274:6	327:16	291:21	300:7	315:25
274:8	defined	298:13	displaces	downward
274:21	254:20	determined	317:14	316:25
275:6	degree	260:1	disposal	dozens
275:9	313:4	difference	244:2	322:14
275:12	Denbury	297:17	244:7	322:16
275:20	247:25	317:25	245:7	328:1
276:3	321:17	318:9	248:4	Dr 258:6
276:4	322:3	different	307:14	258:7
276:12	327:1	253:13	307:24	259:9
276:18	Denbury's	253:15	dispute	261:22

273:17	263:23	258:3	266:4	318:3
274:25	early	258:5	error	exerted
275:2	319:12	331:6	294:9	316:8
275:4	easily	engineering	essentially	329:8
275:4	284:15	276:13	265:24	exhibit
290:24	easy	276:13	estimated	245:20
291:13	265:24	entered	301:23	245:20
291:13	economic	255:4	estimates	249:17
292:4	327:15	280:10	302:6	250:4
299:10	economics	280:10	estimation	250:4
301:15	328:1	281:9	302:9	250:3
318:13		295:21		250:20
	edge	295.21	Evelyn 244:18	
draw	288:13			250:24
274:21	292:4	entire	evening	251:22
drawing	300:11	320:14	245:16	252:6
304:4	edition	321:6	event	252:9
drawn	263:16	321:23	330:4	253:6
275:12	263:17	322:19	events	253:11
drew 275:6	editorial	entities	281:20	253:12
drilled	285:15	328:1	eventually	254:19
320:22	effect	entitled	330:15	255:10
321:8	317:3	296:3	evidence	255:11
329:5	326:3	ENVIRONME	324:7	255:19
331:14	326:16	244:3	evil	257:13
drilling	327:7	244:7	294:21	257:17
274:6	329:18	EPA 329:14	exact	257:22
274:10	effective	equal	281:2	259:11
274:21	267:15	266:13	305:20	259:13
275:6	effectively	268:2	321:2	260:20
275:12	269:6	equals	321:16	261:7
328:5	efficient	264:12	322:17	261:16
331:10	307:14	equation	323:2	261:20
dry 323:8	effort	262:3	exactly	261:20
327:16	326:13	262:5	278:17	262:9
328:8	EGAN	262:8	291:24	262:15
due 281:23	244:16	262:12	297:20	266:21
332:11	252:7	262:17	298:1	267:9
dug 331:12	258:11	262:20	298:3	267:12
duly 248:7	258:17	262:21	300:10	269:1
	258:20	263:1	300:16	269:13
E	258:24	263:4	306:25	269:17
	eight	263:5	313:1	270:1
earlier	309:14	264:7	322:25	270:4
257:16	309:24	264:8	324:4	270:20
276:19	either	264:10	324:11	271:15
282:4	258:2	264:12	example	271:23
297:1	286:4	265:10	315:7	271:25
Earlougher	303:17	265:13	excuse	272:3
248:13	electroni	265:17	259:12	272:24
263:22	245:16	equations	314:25	273:7
E-A-R-L-O	engineer	262:4	317:5	273:7

278:9	orroat	308:17	274:19	314:5
278:19	expect 331:20	308:24	275:15	314:6
278:19	expectation	308:24	275:18	314:12
280:10	245:19	310:2	275:23	314:16
			276:1	
280:13	expert	310:7		314:17
281:12	290:24	310:11	276:9	315:22
284:23	291:14	310:14	276:10	315:23
284:24	292:9	310:20	276:16	316:16
285:3	expertise	311:5	276:17	324:14
286:3	292:11	311:11	276:21	feet
286:3	292:12	311:19	276:23	254:14
287:8	306:23	311:24	277:5	254:14
287:16	experts	318:24	277:13	255:25
288:21	280:25	320:7	277:16	256:7
289:7	explain	325:18	277:19	256:8
290:13	319:22	325:23	277:22	256:9
291:2	explore	326:7	277:23	257:4
291:5	276:20	326:12	301:19	257:6
293:18	expressed	fact	302:1	271:16
294:2	252:12	248:23	302:14	271:21
294:9	extent	254:19	falls	272:12
295:2	247:12	289:19	330:10	272:19
295:21	296:10	292:5	330:15	273:8
295:24	Exxon	292:6	familiar	303:14
299:16	320:19	factor	251:11	304:15
299:21	321:1	264:21	300:3	304:22
300:1	321:2	265:9	far 247:1	305:25
304:5	321:9	266:17	288:3	306:2
305:10	321:11	266:19	291:14	312:13
307:19	321:13	267:16	307:24	326:25
309:12	321:16	269:2	323:13	felt
312:3	321:20	269:14	325:17	322:18
319:9	322:2	factors	326:7	field
320:4	322:2	308:16	fault	319:20
323:4	322:25	Fair 307:7	271:15	320:13
327:14	323:1	313:23	271:15	320:21
exhibits		fairly	271:20	320:23
249:16	F	315:2	272:13	321:1
281:5	II.	fall	272:18	321:5
286:5	face 313:1	330:11	273:7	321:8
286:7	facilities	fall-off	273:11	321:9
286:9	307:10	245:24	273:25	321:10
existed	309:23	245:25	274:2	321:11
320:21	310:4	255:2	284:8	321:14
existence	310:6	261:23	296:21	321:15
320:14	310:12	262:14	298:24	321:23
321:6	310:15	265:3	312:8	322:3
321:24	facility	266:15	313:1	322:19
322:20	307:13	266:18	313:12	328:6
322:21	308:11	266:22	313:25	328:16
322:24	308:15	268:8	314:2	328:19

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

220.22	000.14	1 202.4	£-11	0.47.10
328:23	290:14	283:4	folks	247:12
329:22	290:16	283:12	261:12	found
329:24	290:18	283:15	follow	249:16
329:25	290:22	286:12	250:17	268:8
331:13	290:23	286:16	following	281:12
331:15	291:16	288:16	244:17	288:6
figure	291:20	288:18	follows	325:14
282:20	292:7	290:2	248:7	four
290:23	299:1	291:25	279:14	271:12
298:7	files	293:13	forget	323:4
file 278:5	297:12	296:17	298:14	frankly
278:13	fill 328:8	296:23	form	306:19
278:14	fills	296:25	253:24	freshwater
279:19	330:16	297:18	289:18	323:25
279:21	final	304:8	formation	front
279:24	286:24	306:22	262:14	257:14
280:1	find	307:3	264:21	267:6
280:1	250:14	307:7	264:25	284:23
280:3	254:23	320:22	296:10	284:24
280:5	263:4	five 253:6	303:1	299:17
280:17	275:11	253:7	303:5	312:3
280:19	280:21	253:13	312:9	325:1
280:21	281:2	253:15	315:7	full
280:24	281:14	253:18	317:15	260:14
281:2	281:22	253:21	327:4	function
281:8	283:23	253:24	329:5	267:16
281:12	286:25	253:25	329:9	302:16
281:13	294:3	254:17	329:19	further
281:14	295:25	256:9	formula	318:8
281:15	305:19	257:1	245:13	329:3
282:2	fine 279:3	257:6	248:16	
282:6	332:1	257:9	301:14	G
282:13	332:9	310:5	formulas	
282:14	finish	flip	248:15	gallon
282:21	308:7	253:10	248:18	257:25
282:24	first	254:2	249:2	258:13
283:4	245:13	flow	249:3	258:14
283:7	249:16	265:25	249:7	258:22
284:11	270:8	266:2	Forsberg	258:23
284:12	270:13	267:15	306:12	260:2
284:13	270:19	316:12	306:13	260:18
284:15	275:22	316:24	306:15	260:22
284:16	277:24	326:17	308:9	260:25
284:21	278:5	327:18	308:14	261:15
287:1	278:15	fluid	313:20	gamma
287:2	280:7	257:19	313:23	256:5
287:6	280:9	260:14	313:24	gap 314:16
290:5	280:11	265:25	325:6	general
290:9	280:16	315:21	325:11	301:2
290:10	281:5	315:22	331:16	311:15
290:12	281:9	317:10	forward	328:7

329:18	297:10	312:17	255:23	255:21
generate	304:2	gravity	262:16	help
278:15	314:6	257:19	267:2	262:20
generated	315:24	259:2	277:15	275:20
274:5	320:8	259:21	286:1	291:20
275:16	325:16	GREG 248:6	301:11	294:17
276:7	332:7	ground	305:20	helpful
281:15	332:13	312:13	324:21	294:22
283:3	goes	guess	328:20	high 313:3
302:14	250:22	285:19	331:4	327:10
generating	281:15	322:23	hear	higher
277:17	going	325:20	258:11	268:4
generators	247:10	330:10	308:22	302:2
307:15	263:14	Gulf 244:2	hearing	314:9
311:11	264:10	244:6	244:9	316:2
311:14	265:14	245:6	244:13	318:7
geologic	265:18	248:4	246:7	330:20
274:5	271:1	guy 322:5	247:5	HILL
274:6	271:19	guys 321:8	247:13	246:20
274:8	304:6	-	247:20	246:22
geology	309:20	н	249:17	247:16
272:16	310:20	п	258:18	247:18
318:13	311:1	half	270:8	historical
getting	311:2	324:16	270:13	319:20
269:5	315:3	324:17	271:3	hole 260:4
269:8	316:5	halfway	271:6	260:6
311:18	316:7	291:4	277:5	260:12
give 279:1	316:24	hand	279:22	260:14
284:14	325:4	250:16	280:7	330:10
given	326:3	272:1	280:9	330:14
245:17	327:3	handed	280:11	330:16
283:3	327:8	286:7	280:16	holes
288:15	331:20	286:8	281:9	323:8
309:22	332:5	handle	281:25	327:17
gives	332:7	309:21	283:12	328:8
249:7	332:10	hands	283:13	328:9
giving	good	283:2	283:15	328:14
307:2	248:10	304:3	283:16	329:20
go 245:4	248:11	Hang	287:21	honestly
255:19	278:23	325:19	294:25	268:10
264:2	278:25	happy	298:16	280:12
270:16	306:21	263:9	300:24	307:4
270:18	goose	hard	304:8	Honor
272:8	283:21	245:17	306:22	250:6
275:10	gradient	330:6	307:8	262:23
279:7	260:10	harder	312:3	263:19
279:9	260:15	314:9	Hearings	278:6
283:20	260:19	hate	244:4	280:23
284:15	260:23	263:10	244:8	285:20
284:20	grains	head 253:9	244:14	289:24
286:22	312:17	254:24	height	294:16

274:4
275:1
275:16
275:10
275:21
276:2
276:7
276:9
278:5
279:19
279:21
279:24
280:1
280:3
280:5
280:14
280:17
280:19
280:21
280:24
281:2
281:8
281:12
281:14
282:2
282:13
282:14
282:16
282:21
282:24
283:4
283:7
284:12
284:14
284:16
286:25
287:2
290:5
290:10
290:16
291:16
292:6
297:11
299:1
nputs
283:14
291:11
nputting
261:23
nside
314:19

315:6	investiga	270:12	248:12	312:15
in-state	301:13	271:24	250:5	312:18
307:15	316:13	272:25	253:7	314:4
311:11	318:10	276:25	256:2	314:8
311:14	327:25	277:1	256:20	314:18
instructed	involved	277:9	256:23	315:7
274:1	329:14	279:3	257:9	315:8
298:15	inward	279:6	258:7	316:24
298:20	327:18	279:9	260:1	317:17
instruction	issue	281:3	261:12	317:21
299:24	247:11	281:17	261:19	317:25
instructions		283:6	261:25	318:15
297:17	issues	283:20	262:3	318:19
297:21	306:23	285:18	262:12	318:23
297:22	307:9	285:22	262:16	320:8
297:24	307:21	288:24	263:5	322:2
298:1	item	289:17	263:7	322:2
298:5	245:22	294:24	263:17	323:13
298:8	items	303:21	267:2	324:19
298:13	245:12	305:7	275:3	325:24
298:14	71J.T7	306:11	275:6	327:3
298:23		308:12	276:9	328:13
intended	J	325:5	280:5	328:21
322:4	Jackson	331:18	280:12	328:24
intent	329:5	331:22	282:16	329:19
294:21	329:8	332:1	286:20	330:12
294.21	329:19	332:9	290:9	330:12
322:4	Jr 244:14	Judges	291:9	330:14
interest	Judge	244:17	292:8	knowing
306:23	245:4	297:23	294:7	278:17
307:3	246:12	298:16	297:19	knowledge
307:9	246:21	299:2	298:2	312:1
307:17	247:3	June	298:3	knows
307:17	247:15	244:10	298:3	282:24
internal	247:15	244:10	299:4	404.44
306:3	247:19	245:2	300:10	
interval	247:25	246:15	300:10	L
257:7	250:8	justified	300:19	labeled
266:9	251:4	295:14	301:8	249:18
305:13	252:7	295:14	303:23	lack
305:15	256:1	Z9J•10	303:24	315:14
305:15	258:11	_	304:23	320:4
305:17	258:15	K	304.23	320:4
305:16	258:17	keep	309:20	320:5
317:5	258:20	247:17	310:21	lacked
317:11	258:24	kind 269:8	310:21	329:5
intervals	262:24	272:15	310:22	
315:10	263:14	329:17	310.23	Langhus 273:17
1		knew		
315:11	264:1	321:22	311:23	275:4
introduce	264:4		311:23	318:13
245:20	269:4	know	312:12	large

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

296:10	246:17	located	284:21	285:10
290:10	library	273:8	284:22	287:5
Laughter	248:22	320:9	286:4	291:4
332:4	life 321:8	324:11	286:20	299:16
LAW 244:17	line	324:11	286:25	looks
lawyers	274:12	325:11	280:25	247:9
298:9	289:12	location	290:5	279:19
lay 283:2	289:15	311:1	290:9	284:17
304:3	291:5	locations	291:16	lost
layer	291:15	257:10	291:18	325:19
312:16	293:12	log 254:18	293:17	lot 252:2
314:12	312:7	265:4	295:24	265:20
314:14	315:23	274:22	297:11	268:10
layers	320:4	303:13	298:7	268:11
314:21	320:4	303:15	298:12	268:12
layman's	321:4	315:5	299:1	314:9
265:23	327:14	logging	299:3	331:11
Layne	327:14	274:12	300:1	lots 275:8
258:6	lines	logs	303:12	love
258:7	272:10	274:10	303:12	310:21
274:25	304:12	274:10	303:17	low 318:18
275:2	305:11	275:6	303:24	lower
275:4	319:11	275:12	304:10	254:14
290:24	list 276:8	long 283:2	304:12	254:14
291:13	listed	321:13	305:5	254:20
291:13	284:11	322:7	320:8	254:23
299:10	322:14	330:4	324:5	255:21
301:15	322:14	331:22	326:5	257:4
301:16	little	331:24	326:22	267:24
leads	255:18	longer	looked	269:22
303:19	256:7	330:8	252:2	269:23
learn	258:21	look	282:19	301:5
306:21	280:20	249:13	282:20	301:9
leave	304:2	249:25	293:4	301:21
325:4	311:22	250:23	307:8	301:25
leaves	312:15	251:21	307:21	302:4
312:19	314:24	252:4	308:15	302:7
LEE 332:5	315:10	253:11	looking	302:11
left	318:8	255:6	249:11	305:22
245:11	330:19	255:24	255:9	305:25
272:20	LLC 244:2	263:1	255:10	313:15
279:13	244:7	263:6	256:3	314:2
286:23	245:7	263:11	263:20	314:13
294:14	248:4	264:2	265:7	315:18
301:12	locate	267:12	267:9	316:15
lenses	252:14	279:2	276:21	327:8
314:24	271:20	280:24	279:24	327:18
314:25	278:4	282:16	280:14	327:23
315:10	280:22	282:25	281:4	328:11
letter	325:6	283:4	282:12	
246:13	325:8	284:20	282:13	

KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

М	267:25	301:18	317:13	245:22
	268:6	301:22	318:5	246:1
ma'am	269:19	302:9	318:20	246:6
248:21	270:2	302:13	318:20	246:8
249:8	270:6	302:17	327:20	248:1
249:15	271:4	302:25	330:16	248:3
249:23	271:11	303:6	330:17	248:9
250:5	271:22	304:9	materials	250:6
250:12	272:4	304:17	311:1	250:10
250:15	272:11	304:20	311:18	250:19
251:10	273:9	305:23	matter	250:21
251:16	273:15	Management	244:13	251:3
252:3	273:22	249:19	246:24	251:7
252:16	274:9	manual	249:17	252:8
253:4	274:11	299:24	265:14	252:10
253:9	274:13	map 325:6	329:9	256:3
253:14	274:15	325:8	matters	256:8
253:23	274:17	327:12	245:10	258:12
254:16	275:5	maps	247:20	258:25
254:21	275:8	274:16	mean	262:23
254:25	275:13	294:14	248:21	262:25
255:5	275:25	294:15	261:12	263:13
255:17	276:12	295:4	262:2	263:15
255:23	278:13	324:22	275:8	263:19
256:11	279:17	324:24	286:20	263:20
256:17	285:2	mark 258:6	307:4	264:6
256:23	285:7	258:7	312:11	269:12
257:3	287:4	259:9	312:12	270:14
257:11	287:7	261:22	312:23	271:25
258:8	287:25	274:25	321:7	272:2
259:4	288:8	275:4	322:13	273:1
259:9	290:11	290:24	323:1	273:2
259:14	291:8	291:13	323:16	277:7
259:25	292:17	291:18	324:5	277:11
261:9	293:8	292:4	means	277:12
262:1	293:16	301:15	291:9	278:6
262:11	296:1	301:16	291:15	278:9
262:16	296:5	312:19	319:23	278:11
262:22	296:15	312:21	319:24	278:25
264:9	296:24	marked	measured	279:10
264:15	297:5	250:24	260:11	279:11
264:18	297:9 298:6	material 303:17	measurement	279:12 280:23
265:6 265:12	298:18	313:15	265:24 measurements	280.23
265:12	299:22	313:18	260:4	281:20
266:10	299:25	313:25	329:17	282:1
266:14	300:2	315:18	member	282:4
266:20	300:2	316:6	315:6	282:11
267:8	300:19	316:7	memory	283:8
267:11	301:4	316:9	303:22	284:3
267:19	301:7	316:11	Mendoza	284:4
401.13	301.1	1 210.11	menuo2a	201.1

205.20	1 21 5 . 1	275.16	207.2	1 206.1
285:20	315:1	275:16	297:2	326:1
285:23	315:14	275:21	297:7	326:13
287:14	mile 326:9	276:4	298:21	326:16
287:15	miles	276:7	299:1	models
288:20	324:17	276:14	299:5	266:3
289:1	324:17	277:12	299:8	266:8
289:5	325:20	277:17	299:24	270:7
289:24	millidarcies	277:24	300:5	300:6
289:25	252:21	278:3	300:8	model's
294:8	253:2	278:5	modeled	267:16
294:11	282:5	278:15	269:22	modificat
294:19	298:24	279:20	285:8	291:6
295:8	millidarcy	279:21	300:10	293:17
303:7	261:19	280:15	300:16	mom-and-pop
303:10	mind 263:6	281:8	modeler	328:5
304:1	313:10	281:15	297:12	moment
305:3	319:23	284:5	modeling	303:7
305:5	minor	285:5	252:22	304:4
305:9	268:14	286:12	258:3	306:6
305:10	268:15	286:16	258:5	Monday
306:5	268:20	286:17	267:8	247:3
306:9	268:23	286:18	267:14	247:10
mention	268:25	286:24	268:16	247:15
297:24	269:14	286:25	268:20	247:16
mentioned	minutes	288:6	269:1	money
245:9	281:22	288:12	269:12	310:22
MERITS	331:21	288:16	269:16	328:8
244:9	missed	288:18	270:11	328:10
method	293:11	288:22	271:1	monitor
300:21	293:15	289:7	271:3	329:17
middle	missing	290:1	271:5	Montgomery
281:24	294:1	290:2	271:14	249:19
313:16	294:13	290:15	273:18	308:17
314:3	295:3	290:17	274:24	311:6
314:10	320:12	290:19	274:25	311:14
314:13	misunders	291:12	275:22	311:19
314:14	290:8	291:14	277:5	morning
315:24	mixed	291:19	282:6	245:17
316:1	314:22	291:25	283:5	246:18
316:3	model	292:20	283:10	248:10
316:15	253:2	293:10	283:12	248:11
319:3	266:24	295:9	290:25	278:24
mid-sentence		295:12	291:13	306:16
327:14	267:6	295:16	292:8	306:17
migrate	269:17	296:3	292:12	move 318:8
313:15	269:20	296:8	292:13	moved
migrating	269:21	296:17	292:14	304:23
315:12	269:25	296:18	292:16	movement
migration	270:3	296:20	297:18	312:8
314:8	274:5	296:23	297:18	moving
314:13	275:9	297:1	325:24	247:12

210.16	040.10	200.5	0551	1
312:16	249:18	302:5	Office	ones
MR-5	net 301:5	302:8	244:2	270:15
324:19	301:7	302:11	244:6	325:14
mu 264:13	301:8	302:14	244:13	open
264:23	317:3	302:20	oil 315:11	288:12
mud 261:14	never	309:6	319:13	290:6
261:17	328:24	309:21	319:20	292:3
263:11	new 293:20	315:5	320:13	327:22
329:8	293:22	322:15	320:21	operate
municipal	293:23	322:17	320:23	309:9
308:4	Nichols	numbers	321:5	309:21
	246:15	246:9	321:10	310:7
N	night	319:7	321:23	310:12
	249:13	325:15	322:19	310:15
name 331:5	255:16	numerous	327:24	310:20
331:8	node 291:6	276:12	328:6	322:3
names	nonhazardous		328:12	operated
264:11	307:16	0	328:16	322:1
narrow	nontransm		328:19	operates
311:22	273:24	oath	328:23	309:13
native	274:3	247:23	329:21	operation
317:15	284:9	objection	329:23	308:23
need	298:25	269:3	329:25	310:3
246:24	324:15	270:10	331:13	311:24
247:11	noon 325:4	285:15	331:14	operations
247:13	normal	285:19	okay 256:8	316:14
261:18	266:8	288:17	258:24	316:20
283:23	north	288:25	264:3	321:3
284:20	316:7	289:3	273:6	321:18
286:22	Nos 244:4	289:9	279:3	322:6
290:5	245:5	289:17	284:3	323:1
291:16	note	303:15	284:13	327:1
299:17	246:12	305:1	284:19	328:5
301:20	246:16	313:17	286:14	operator
303:16	number	observed	286:16	309:13
303:23	249:20	309:13	291:3	309:20
303:24	259:7	310:3	304:11	320:14
307:24	259:25	occur	304:14	320:24
308:16	260:19	330:4	306:11	321:5
309:21	263:17	o'clock	307:2	321:23
311:9	268:3	247:4	307:5	322:19
needed	268:23	odd 282:19	311:13	322:22
284:14	268:25	offered	312:6	328:16
298:10	269:8	283:11	312:20	operators
negative	269:14	306:22	319:10	309:18
267:20	277:15	307:1	321:19	320:25
267:23	278:1	offhand	old 319:21	321:20
268:8	301:7	256:14	Omni 251:1	322:14
268:12	301:21	258:8	once 286:8	328:17
268:24	301:24	275:8	314:9	328:18
Neighbors	302:4	275:19	330:10	opinion

309:17	293:9	_	291:2	250:4
311:4	295:10	P	291:4	250:9
327:9	295:10	p.m 247:16	291:5	250:10
327:5	295:17	pace 247:1	295:3	250:16
329:10	296:9	pace 247.1	295:6	251:18
331:9	296:13	303:11	295:24	255:3
opinions	296:14	304:15	299:16	257:17
307:3	296:18	304:21	299:21	262:9
opportunity	296:19	305:12	300:1	267:7
247:8	297:4	306:2	300:4	269:20
247:14	297:7	page	300:7	272:5
opposed	297:25	249:18	304:6	273:19
294:18	298:21	250:20	304:10	274:8
318:21	299:6	250:22	304:12	274:9
oral	299:9	250:23	305:6	274:10
289:19	299:12	251:2	305:10	274:12
order	300:10	251:21	307:19	274:14
283:23	300:16	252:5	309:12	274:16
284:6	300:22	253:5	312:5	274:18
287:1	output	253:10	319:8	275:20
310:21	280:1	253:12	320:3	276:3
original	281:13	253:21	321:4	276:18
274:6	281:15	253:25	323:3	277:16
277:4	282:6	254:2	327:13	277:25
277:5	284:11	254:19	327:16	278:2
277:12	284:13	256:2	329:3	278:19
279:22	284:15	256:2	pages	278:20
280:6	284:21	256:5	244:20	279:15
282:6	287:5	257:22	294:1	279:16
285:9	290:9	260:21	294:12	280:15
286:24	290:12	263:8	294:13	281:9
325:24	290:14	265:22	295:4	281:16
326:22	290:16	266:21	paper	282:12
326:24	290:18	267:12	298:17	282:12
330:17	290:22	267:13	298:20	283:11
originally	290:23	271:23	parameter	287:10
301:16	297:11	271:24	276:2	287:18
outer	outside	271:25	276:10	287:20
285:5	292:10	272:3	parameters	297:16
286:13	311:6	272:24	274:4	300:13
286:19	311:18	272:25	275:1	partially
286:21	318:5	273:1	275:16	329:14
287:6	overrule	273:6	275:17	particular
288:7	285:18	273:7 278:10	276:7	265:10
288:15	288:25		284:11	286:5 295:6
288:18 288:23	oversized 294:15	279:14 281:13	290:11 290:16	319:14
288:23	overview	281:13		
290:3	273:13	284:23	Parameter	parties 245:18
290:3	4/3.13	285:3	part	245:18
290:0		285:4	249:17	party
∠3∠·1		400.4	4サン・エ /	Par cy

285:19	262:13	person	326:10	327:5
pass	264:16	292:13	327:16	pointed
255:18	265:10	petroleum	328:10	255:16
306:10	265:13	272:9	328:11	pointing
331:16	265:15	phrase	328:23	246:9
pathway	265:16	289:22	plugged	263:6
318:2	265:18	physically	319:16	points
318:4	265:21	315:3	319:19	331:2
pause	265:21	picked	320:2	pool
278:22	266:1	322:25	320:2	317:12
293:25	266:3	picture	323:9	317:12
303:9	266:7	315:2	323:11	
				porosity
306:8	266:11	piece	323:15	251:17
325:10	274:2	298:17	323:17	251:23
PDS 249:18	275:24 277:19	298:20	323:24	251:25 252:4
pending		place	324:2	
279:13	277:21	304:24	324:8	252:11
percent	280:1	placed	324:9	252:19
252:12	280:2	261:11	328:14	254:18
252:14	282:5	places	328:25	291:6
299:12	284:8	313:2	329:6	299:11
311:22	296:21	313:3	plugging	299:12
312:23	301:21	313:6	319:21	positive
312:24	301:22	playing	325:22	268:3
perfect	301:24	247:4	330:2	268:14
317:16	302:4	247:6	plugs	268:15
perform	302:7	please	323:19	268:20
301:16	302:11	250:23	323:21	268:23
performed	302:15	254:2	324:7	268:24
251:12	302:22	255:7	326:20	268:25
251:14	302:24	269:11	plume	269:8
261:8	314:7	287:13	317:7	269:14
perm 318:7	314:10	312:5	317:9	304:3
318:22	314:19	319:9	317:10	possibility
permeabil	314:20	323:3	317:20	302:25
302:19	314:23	plot	317:23	possible
327:10	315:16	251:18	318:6	293:11
permeability		251:20	318:12	307:4
251:17	315:25	251:23	point	311:20
251:22	316:2	252:5	247:13	311:21
251:25	316:6	252:19	249:2	Possibly
252:4	316:11	252:23	271:20	292:8
252:17	327:11	252:25	271:23	325:2
252:18	330:17	256:5	282:1	posted
254:18	330:19	265:3	284:10	247:17
261:20	PERMIT	plots	285:13	pot 300:17
261:24	244:4	252:1	291:1	potential
262:3	244:8	plug 256:9	297:20	247:8
262:4	permits	256:12	299:3	313:5
262:6	270:8	256:21	302:13	318:8
262:9	272:6	319:13	326:18	318:11

319:5	308:19	prevent	275:11	310:25
	PRESENTATION	_	281:1	317:6
318:11	248:4	prevented	282:21	providing
potentially	presents	315:11	282:25	294:9
249:5	261:24	preventing	producing	294:18
267:25		314:25	269:21	306:23
268:6	pressure 254:22	previous	270:1	public
280:2	255:13	305:7	270:1	306:23
303:6	260:3	305:7	270:9	306.23
315:24	260:3		315:10	307:2
		previously		
327:23	260:9	248:7	327:22	307:17
pound	260:12	311:8	328:11	308:16
260:18	260:15	312:7	production	published
260:25	260:19	prior	246:2	331:3
pounds	260:22	246:6	269:23	pull 255:6
257:25	262:15	258:15	272:10	285:12
258:13	265:3	273:21	282:10	294:10
258:14	265:4	277:5	program	327:23
258:22	266:4	286:16	261:22	328:12
260:2	266:6	286:17	262:7	pulled
260:21	266:12	289:1	projects	323:16
261:15	267:24	289:6	308:3	pure
practice	268:4	290:8	proposed	314:21
319:13	316:3	294:25	307:13	purpose
328:15	316:8	323:1	309:9	283:6
328:21	316:10	probably	311:5	283:8
328:23	316:15	248:16	316:14	push
precise	316:17	249:3	325:17	295:22
246:5	316:21	257:13	325:23	316:4
prefiled	316:23	262:21	326:7	318:20
255:3	316:25	263:22	326:12	put 261:18
267:7	316:25	290:22	327:1	276:6
304:7	317:4	312:8	protect	280:3
312:2	318:15	312:11	314:12	281:9
319:8	318:18	312:23	protestants	290:16
prehearing	318:19	330:23	306:12	291:20
247:2	319:1	problem	provide	329:16
247:9	324:15	295:1	245:13	puzzled
247:14	326:23	problems	275:1	283:5
preliminary	329:16	331:10	307:13	
245:9	330:25	proceed	provided	Q
246:23	331:1	248:2	245:23	×
247:20	pressures	279:10	246:2	QUALITY
prepare	266:9	281:25	246:4	244:3
287:15	319:6	proceedings	246:17	244:8
287:23	327:2	244:17	260:17	question
prepared	327:3	332:14	281:21	245:23
273:14	329:7	process	281:23	252:24
273:16	pretty	248:1	282:10	268:19
287:8	321:9	produced	288:2	269:6
present	328:15	246:4	288:3	269:9
F-050110	320 - 13		1 200 9	

269:11	310:20	279:8	245:19	272:9
269:24	rates	332:10	332:6	272:16
270:13	301:13	332:12	re-entering	273:12
270:15	ray 256:5	recessed	319:21	relate
279:13	reach	332:14	refer	253:25
282:3	314:5	recognize	256:1	relation
282:4	reached	249:3	257:12	326:11
282:7	313:25	251:22	257:15	reliable
284:19	314:1	272:2	272:23	301:21
286:14	314:9	273:2	273:6	301:24
287:13	read	278:11	reference	302:3
289:16	260:20	279:18	248:22	302:5
289:18	291:20	285:1	249:1	302:6
289:18	307:22	299:20	262:18	302:8
289:23	reading	recollection		302:11
295:15	272:8	289:14	303:17	relying
298:11	readings	292:3	304:6	327:20
298:12	331:1	307:12	323:4	331:9
302:3	reads	311:10	referenced	remain
302:10	296:8	record	251:19	247:23
305:2	reality	245:4	297:21	remand
308:13	310:22	245:8	references	266:25
315:20	realized	245:21	272:12	273:20
questioned	248:15	246:13	referred	283:11
281:20	really	246:16	252:5	283:23
questions	250:17	251:5	276:19	284:6
263:12	281:21	263:15	referring	312:3
270:19	282:7	263:18	263:18	REMANDED
270:24	286:20	279:7	307:22	244:9
306:18	290:6	279:10	311:13	remember
331:19	294:16	280:11	reflected	255:22
quicker	312:12	294:7	261:7	262:7
304:2	reason	294:17	262:9	266:18
quite	309:4	294:21	289:6	267:3
270:16	310:19	294:23	refresh	271:3
	326:15	294:24	307:12	271:22
R	reasonable	314:21	refreshes	277:15
	319:24	332:13	303:22	283:25
raise	recalculate	records	311:10	286:1
247:11	259:20	319:21	regard	291:24
raised	recall	320:5	308:6	298:1
245:22	245:25	320:6	regardless	298:18
ran 268:8	307:11	320:9	319:14	305:20
range	307:13	320:11	regards	306:25
310:23	309:1	322:10	307:9	307:2
rarely	309:14	322:14	307:21	307:6
309:12	315:3	323:16	308:14	309:11
rate	331:7	324:5	308:16	321:1
260:21	received	324:25	317:6	321:15
262:13	246:13	328:2	319:5	321:16
264:19	recess	redirect	regional	322:25

202.0		216.0	202.4	215.6
323:2	resume	316:2	303:4	315:6
324:4	247:20	316:10	role 247:5	330:14
331:5	279:7	317:14	247:6	330:20
REMEMBERED	332:12	318:7	Room	sands
244:11	retract	Riley	244:15	314:10
remind	289:4	245:9	rubbing	315:9
247:22	retracting	245:11	312:18	sandstone
repetitive	289:1	246:19	run 283:5	312:18
269:5	289:5	250:17	286:2	314:19
report	review	258:15	301:20	save 308:9
246:1	251:17	263:10	317:20	saw 265:7
249:11	319:21	264:1	321:9	331:1
249:14	322:11	264:3	321:13	saying
251:1	324:13	264:5	322:5	248:14
251:18	324:15	264:6	running	263:22
255:2	reviewed	269:3	317:23	307:13
255:14	246:2	270:10	318:2	309:15
261:1	251:8	276:24	318:12	314:1
261:4	251:25	278:8	321:11	320:23
261:18	268:11	278:23		322:2
267:8	275:20	279:4	s	says
268:18	276:3	281:17		260:21
274:7	276:18	281:19	safe	260:24
324:23	277:16	285:15	307:14	263:7
reported	277:25	287:12	Sample	264:12
244:18	278:2	288:17	254:3	272:9
Reporter	292:25	289:3	254:5	279:25
244:19	293:13	289:9	254:7	285:5
reports	322:10	294:5	254:9	285:7
255:1	revised	294:16	254:11	286:21
Represent	273:5	294:20	samples	288:6
246:14	286:5	295:5	253:13	289:23
represented	revision	303:15	253:15	302:1
254:18	272:8	305:1	253:18	319:17
request	revisions	308:7	253:25	scale
284:1	285:23	313:17	254:17	261:14
requested	285:25	313:21	256:10	261:17
246:3	295:7	325:3	256:13	schedule
reservoir	295:9	Riley's	256:18	247:1
274:4	right	332:11	256:21	se 310:1
276:7	248:25	risk-redu	257:10	318:14
285:9	272:9	307:14	sampling	seal
292:14	297:4	RM-5 323:6	257:2	292:18
292:15	299:14	324:2	257:6	sealed
296:3	299:19	Robert	331:2	259:11
response	303:14	248:13	sand 301:8	259:13
281:18	303:19	263:21	312:16	second
restate	305:12	rock	314:21	245:22
269:11	314:18	265:25	314:21	285:4
295:15	315:4	266:2	314:22	296:6
315:20	315:5	303:1	314:24	296:18

297:18	257:9	214.00	281:19	329:11
		314:22		
312:7	semblance	314:25 315:5	simple	330:9 sit 280:25
section	317:18		269:24	
273:4	Senator	315:10	simulate	291:22
285:4	246:14	329:19	299:8	site 261:6
285:25	send 282:9	329:20	single	319:5
286:5	sends	331:10	320:14	six 293:12
288:6	311:25	331:12	321:5	310:5
289:6	sense	shape	321:23	315:8
293:4	269:7	253:24	321:25	size
293:20	sensors	short-cir	322:19	255:20
293:22	329:16	248:17	322:22	skin 265:8
293:23	sent 310:1	Shorthand	328:16	265:9
296:2	sentence	244:18	sink	265:9
307:22	285:4	show	318:15	265:14
see 252:11	288:5	266:16	318:19	265:17
257:21	288:8	266:21	319:1	266:15
260:20	288:10	266:22	sir 246:19	266:17
260:24	296:6	268:14	251:6	266:19
264:12	296:8	269:21	307:4	266:22
265:9	sequences	270:4	307:11	266:25
265:10	315:6	271:15	307:18	267:3
272:10	serve	304:5	307:20	267:16
278:4	307:15	311:9	308:3	267:16
282:17	311:11	showed	308:25	267:20
283:14	313:7	266:19	309:3	267:23
286:4	service	323:16	309:10	268:2
286:11	307:15	324:7	309:16	268:3
291:7	set 276:13	shown	310:9	268:9
293:14	291:21	248:12	310:15	268:13
294:3	291:23	268:12	311:3	268:14
296:4	291:24	316:20	311:7	268:15
296:6	303:11	shows	311:20	268:17
303:8	304:15	256:6	312:4	268:20
304:1	304:22	295:6	312:22	269:2
312:13	305:12	302:2	313:5	269:14
315:4	306:2	315:5	313:13	slabbed
317:25	seven	shuffling	315:15	256:19
326:4	272:21	325:3	317:8	slabbing
329:18	310:12	side	319:7	256:15
seeing	310:17	295:23	319:17	slightly
253:12	310:18	significant	320:16	270:13
seeking	shale	316:22	322:12	slipped
283:7	300:24	318:9	322:17	314:17
283:8	301:3	significa	322:21	329:1
seen 288:8	301:5	314:7	323:7	slope
302:20	312:16	314:23	323:13	265:2
310:7	312:17	324:16	324:21	slough
310:12	314:12	330:20	325:25	331:11
318:14	314:14	similar	326:14	sloughing
selected	314:17	251:8	328:3	330:13
Defected	J	231.0	J 20 + J	J J J J + ± J

smearing	271:21	249:1	314:20	253:2
300:25	272:12	291:12	315:21	259:17
300:25	272:12	292:13	324:2	266:25
312:8	272:13	stand	statement	269:1
313:3	273:8	247:21	286:12	269:13
313:4	273:11	standard	320:18	269:20
313:4		274:14	320:18	269:25
SOAH 244:1	speak 258:20	319:12	327:21	270:3
244:5	301:14	328:15	states	270:3
245:5	speaking	328:21	268:18	270:7
297:23	251:20	328:23	312:7	270:11
SOLID	specializes	standing	stating	271:5 271:14
244:8	292:13	260:14	289:19	
somebody	specific	standpoint	290:1	273:19
301:13	253:16	324:16	steady-state	
sorry	257:18	start	300:20	275:22
252:8	259:2	246:10	stick	278:15
258:11	259:20	267:22	263:10	279:22
258:12	272:16	325:14	stone	280:9
258:17	276:4	330:12	329:8	280:15
258:19	277:15	started	stop	282:12
260:8	278:1	246:23	282:22	286:23
266:7	308:17	starting	332:7	289:10
267:22	312:10	329:3	stops	290:2
276:24	315:2	starts	260:10	290:20
286:15	317:24	251:1	260:15	293:19
287:14	319:7	299:20	260:19	293:22
294:3	specifically		streams	293:23
294:19	255:13	300:7	317:19	297:8
303:4	263:1	state	317:22	299:2
305:9	269:4	244:2	Street	submitting
308:5	269:10	244:6	244:15	300:13
sort	277:14	244:13	strictly	substantial
264:21	289:4	246:14	273:12	247:4
317:12	289:14	246:14	strong	247:6
328:4	291:10	263:15	327:15	suggesting
sorted	291:20	263:15	structural	282:22
275:9	315:15	267:14	274:16	282:23
sorts	317:17	289:11	struggling	294:20
317:19	318:23	290:15	280:4	suggestion
sound	321:7	308:1	studies	281:25
259:1	324:4	311:16	330:6	332:6
303:14	speculate	319:11	study	suggests
303:19	327:4	320:4	329:23	289:19
sounds	spell	320:10	330:25	309:5
299:14	263:23	320:13	331:3	supervised
sources	spend	stated	331:7	259:15
273:23	252:2	277:14	submittals	292:23
276:12	stack	277:25	280:6	supplied
south	299:18	289:13	submitted	260:16
271:16	staff	300:21	252:22	supporting

245:24	258:25	313:18	266:18	288:14
suppose	262:25	318:23	266:19	288:17
298:6	279:1	330:21	266:22	288:21
sure	279:6	TCEQ 244:1	277:16	288:22
248:14	280:24	244:6	277:19	289:2
251:20	282:22	290:20	277:22	289:3
256:6	284:1	tell	277:24	289:6
258:3	284:22	249:25	302:1	289:16
263:7	295:22	254:3	302:21	289:19
265:21	305:5	254:24	302:22	289:20
268:10	325:4	255:9	302:23	290:8
270:16	330:4	256:6	testified	295:20
274:17	330:8	262:5	248:7	297:1
274:23	taken	275:19	254:13	297:3
277:2	253:15	276:5	257:18	297:8
277:10	253:18	278:1	259:17	297:15
279:25	254:3	287:5	289:9	300:13
280:22	254:5	288:3	289:13	300:24
281:3	254:7	291:15	302:15	302:18
282:7	254:9	293:19	302:18	303:20
283:21	254:11	301:7	305:24	304:7
285:13	254:19	301:11	311:9	304:18
286:22	255:21	301:22	312:8	305:6
290:6	256:21	328:20	315:17	305:7
293:2	257:1	tells	testify	305:11
293:7	talk	269:7	308:22	307:3
293:9	248:15	290:18	testifying	307:7
295:4	248:16	ten 309:14	300:8	307:23
297:19	273:10	310:23	309:11	308:19
304:3	283:15	tends	testimony	309:19
306:6	311:24	331:11	248:24	312:2
323:23	talked	term	255:3	317:6
329:1	249:10	298:18	257:17	319:9
Surfaces	249:12	terms	266:25	320:11
249:20	261:12	251:13	267:7	321:4
surprise	265:20	265:23	270:8	testing
322:13	283:9	318:16	273:19	274:19
322:16	306:20	test	273:21	275:16
surrounding	talking	245:24	276:11	275:18
329:8	250:25	246:1	280:16	275:23
sustain	255:15	248:13	281:11	276:1
289:17	255:20	255:2	281:16	276:9
sworn	257:16	257:16	282:17	276:11
248:7	258:19	261:3	282:22	276:16
	272:14	261:6	283:10	276:17
	277:4	261:23	283:11	276:21
T	277:6	262:19	283:17	276:23
table	277:8	263:21	285:9	tests
258:2	286:6	265:3	286:17	268:8
take 255:6	292:4	266:15	286:17	268:11
256:18	292:4	266:16	287:20	268:11
_ 720.TQ	$\Delta \mathcal{I} \Delta \cdot \Delta \perp$	Z00.10	407.40	700.TT

268:12	271:25	text	255:13	281:22
277:6	271:25	286:21	257:12	282:2
277:13	272:23	293:4	262:19	282:16
301:19	278:9	thank	269:7	284:1
301:19			270:12	
	279:14	246:19		287:13
Texas	280:24	248:3	278:4	289:14
244:2	281:7	249:9	279:4	293:11
244:7	281:12	251:3	279:12	299:3
244:15	282:5	254:22	280:10	302:13
249:19	284:23	255:12	280:20	302:16
260:24	284:24	258:24	282:3	315:12
261:13	285:3	265:20	282:8	317:1
311:16	286:3	269:16	284:20	322:5
TexCom	286:3	277:11	286:8	327:5
244:2	287:8	279:11	289:20	329:2
244:6	287:15	284:3	294:6	329:20
245:6	288:21	289:24	294:11	330:18
245:20	291:1	295:5	294:17	330:21
248:4	291:4	306:9	294:23	330:21
250:2	293:18	306:13	299:19	331:10
250:4	294:2	325:5	302:3	times
250:19	295:24	331:17	303:15	264:13
250:21	299:16	Thanks	303:19	264:13
250:24	299:20	312:14	305:19	264:13
251:21	300:1	theory	309:2	264:13
252:8	304:5	262:1	313:17	302:19
253:5	307:9	262:2	313:21	331:12
253:11	307:19	262:2	327:7	title
253:12	308:6	thesis	331:22	263:16
254:19	308:10	292:14	THOMAS	today
257:16	308:15	292:15	244:16	289:2
259:11	308:23	thick	thought	290:13
259:13	309:8	257:4	277:9	291:22
261:7	310:20	312:20	three	297:8
261:16	310:25	329:19	247:3	297:15
261:20	311:5	thickness	285:25	299:2
262:9	311:5	262:14	331:21	300:14
262:15	311:10	264:25	throes	302:21
266:21	311:18	thing	247:10	304:21
267:9	318:24	281:2	time 246:5	309:18
267:12	320:7	304:25	248:22	332:10
267:13	325:17	things	248:22	told
269:1	325:23	246:3	252:3	260:18
269:13	326:7	249:20	265:4	260:25
269:17	326:12	266:12	267:17	261:13
269:21	TexCom's	268:2	268:18	296:17
270:1	278:19	276:8	278:23	299:10
270:3	278:20	276:15	278:25	tomorrow
270:20	279:15	276:16	279:1	302:22
271:15	279:16	think	280:20	332:8
271:23	307:13	246:5	280:25	332:12

Landah.	true 294:7	244:3	319:2	
tonight 283:1	321:10	317:13	323:5	V
tool 260:9	try 264:10	understand	323:24	VAI 272:9
260:12	281:2	264:5	323:24	value
top 253:9	trying	264:7	324:3	267:14
254:24	248:15	264:7	324:8	268:22
255:22	264:17	270:14	324.6	
			327:24	301:23 variable
262:16	281:14 282:8	270:23 277:2	_	265:8
265:3	282:15		upward 314:25	267:16
267:2	282:15	281:4		
267:13		286:9	315:12	268:17
277:15	305:19	318:17	316:24	variables
284:10	308:9	332:3	326:17	264:12
286:1	313:10	understan	upwards	varied
301:11	turn	281:10	316:4	265:17
305:15	245:19	285:8	USDW	various
305:20	295:20	288:24	326:17	293:17
305:21	312:5	301:2	USDWs	300:23
305:22	319:8	309:2	324:9	315:9
305:24	320:3	328:4	use 249:1	316:17
306:3	323:3	understood	252:21	322:14
314:14	two 245:12	306:19	253:2	328:2
319:1	272:10	308:23	259:25	vary
324:21	284:5	unfair	266:7	265:18
328:20	285:25	289:16	268:1	verified
331:4	294:14	unitization	273:23	260:18
tough	295:7	320:19	275:23	verify
312:15	297:2	322:8	276:15	255:24
trace	302:23	323:1	276:16	290:6
252:17	324:16	unitized	276:22	292:5
transmissive		322:6	277:13	292:6
315:23	325:20	322:7	277:18	297:14
transmiss		unrevised	277:21	verifying
296:21	310:11	272:7	277:23	297:10
313:12	typically	285:10	290:6	version
travel	256:24	updated	291:12	273:4
314:2	256:25	286:12	294:23	285:10
315:18	typo	updates	298:18	versions
318:5	272:20	286:10	299:12	285:12
traveling		286:23	300:6	versus
313:8	U	287:23	300:17	251:17
316:7		288:1	300:20	251:23
treat	UIC 286:10	288:3	useful	251:25
274:2	309:13	288:5	303:20	252:4
298:24	309:18	upper	uses	252:18
treated	310:14	313:16	261:22	vertical
284:8	310:15	314:3	296:18	314:7
tremendous	313:25	314:8	usually	314:18
267:21	unaware	315:8	330:7	314:23
trouble	300:12	315:19		316:11
258:18	underground	316:12		318:22

207.10	047.05	I 000.00	1 007.05	270.0
327:10	247:25	289:22	297:25	270:9
VI 250:2	250:8	316:19	315:13	271:7
250:9	251:4	323:23	319:25	271:9
250:10	256:1	wanted	WDW315	271:12
250:13	262:24	246:15	249:19	302:20
250:16	263:14	249:12	253:18	304:16
251:5	264:1	253:1	261:11	319:13
VII.C.1	264:4	271:18	271:21	319:21
285:4	269:4	277:10	272:13	320:1
288:6	270:12	wanting	272:19	320:6
289:6	271:24	286:11	274:22	321:9
293:4	272:25	wants	304:16	323:4
293:21	277:1	282:1	WDW410	324:8
VII.C.1s	277:9	Wapati	244:4	324:17
293:23	279:3	321:15	270:5	324:19
VIIs	279:6	321:19	271:10	325:8
293:22	279:9	322:2	271:16	325:12
viscosity	281:3	Wapati's	303:10	326:20
262:14	281:17	321:18	304:16	328:5
264:23	283:6	waste	304:22	328:23
volume	283:20	244:8	305:16	328:24
244:20	285:18	307:16	WDW411	329:4
250:2	285:22	309:22	244:4	329:16
250:9	288:24	310:1	WDW412	330:1
250:10	289:17	311:6	244:4	went 245:8
250:13	294:24	311:7	WDW413	318:1
250:16	303:21	311:25	244:4	324:24
250:21	305:7	314:5	Wednesday	329:15
251:5	306:11	317:10	244:10	West
264:21	308:12	317:14	244:12	244:15
284:23	331:18	318:1	245:2	we've
	331:22	wastestream	week	265:20
W	332:1	313:8	310:13	269:22
- "	332:9	313:11	310:17	272:13
waiting	wander	wastewater	310:18	275:13
279:5	264:3	307:14	weight	275:14
Walker	want	water	257:25	288:4
331:18	257:12	258:1	260:11	292:20
331:19	257:15	258:9	261:10	William
331:24	258:15	258:10	wellbore	244:14
332:2	260:5	259:8	267:18	wire
walls	263:6	259:24	267:24	274:12
330:12	263:7	328:12	268:4	withdraw
WALSTON	264:1	way 253:24	303:12	305:3
244:16	265:21	258:2	303:25	withstand
245:4	276:20	258:19	304:4	329:7
246:12	277:1	260:4	wells	witness
246:21	283:4	260:6	268:7	247:21
247:15	283:14	273:20	269:21	247:24
247:17	285:13	290:22	270:1	250:7
247:19	286:2	295:22	270:4	255:8

262.2		212.6	127 204:22	244:12
263:3	Y	313:6	127 284:23	
278:7	371- OCA - F	zone	284:24	18 309:25
282:14	Yeah 264:5	252:25	285:4	320:4
282:24	year	317:4	13 304:12	19 286:7
283:3	309:24	327:11	323:3	286:9
283:9	310:8	327:23	134 251:2	319:11
285:21	330:7	327:24	252:7	190.6
289:4	years	328:11	14 255:25	261:19
289:11	302:23	zones	256:7	302:1
294:6	321:17	327:18	256:7	1930s
303:16	329:10		256:8	319:12
306:10	330:23	0	256:9	1977
331:16	yesterday		304:13	248:14
words	245:11	00 272:12	320:3	263:24
291:6	245:15		321:4	1999
298:3	246:11	1	327:13	266:18
work 251:9	248:12		144 251:21	266:22
252:1	249:10	1.179	252:5	
259:15	250:25	259:22	252:8	2
266:8	254:13	1.18	253:5	
292:23	255:4	257:19	253:21	20 272:24
294:22	255:16	259:4	254:19	286:3
297:13	257:18	259:5	146 253:10	304:6
310:22	283:2	1.1928	253:12	304:10
318:13	299:15	259:1	253:25	309:25
319:20	301:12	10:06	254:2	320:13
320:19	302:15	279:8	147 256:5	321:4
329:13	308:20	10:25	14-foot	331:25
worked	332:10	279:7	257:1	2007-0204
268:7	yesterday's	279:8	15 246:15	244:1
294:17	281:20	100 312:24	273:6	2007-0362
308:3	you-all	312:25	273:7	244:6
309:23	255:16	11 249:17	309:25	2009
working	260:6	250:4	327:16	266:15
275:4	young	250:5	329:3	2010
294:6	331:6	250:20	331:25	244:10
workload		250:22	15-minute	244:12
310:1	Z	250:24	279:6	245:2
works		251:22	15th	246:15
292:9	zero	252:6	244:15	206 278:10
write	267:14	252:9	16 244:10	279:14
292:15	268:2	253:6	245:2	281:13
written	268:17	253:11	309:2	21 286:7
261:1	268:22	253:12	310:7	319:11
298:5	268:23	254:19	162.6	327:14
wrong	268:24	255:19	264:13	213 291:2
280:2	268:24	257:13	165 266:21	291:5
wrote	269:6	266:21	16-hour	21st 247:3
292:14	272:20	319:8	309:5	247:15
	312:24	11:57	310:23	247:16
	312:25	332:14	16th	22 286:8

00.5	1404 044.15	6 000 06	00 204.10	
22.7	404 244:15	6,082.96	82 324:19	
266:17	40s 328:6	254:12	325:8	
23 257:22	461 324:19	6,390	84 312:3	
273:7	325:9	254:14	319:9	
273:7	49 304:5	60s 328:6	320:4	
286:4	305:10	62 271:23	323:4	
294:2	307:19	271:25	327:14	
305:6	309:12	272:3	85 267:9	
305:10		69 272:24	267:13	
239 299:16	5	272:25	267:13	
299:21	5	273:1	269:2	
24 252:14	5,108		269:13	
286:7	303:14		269:17	
286:9	304:15	7	269:21	
310:12	304:13	7,000	270:1	
310:12	5.92	272:22	270:1	
244 244:20	266:22	7,300	270:21	
26 305:11	267:1	273:8	271:15	
266 300:1	268:3	7.9 263:2	295:21	
300:4	50 311:22	263:4	295:25	
300:7	312:23	263:7	87758	
2674 245:6	328:6	700 271:21	244:8	
27 305:11	500 282:5	272:19		
270 250:23	302:2	70s 320:20	9	
28th 247:6	326:25	322:8		
	52 294:12	322:23	9.9 257:25	
3	53 294:12	74 252:20	258:25	
3	54 307:19	252:21	260:2	
30 281:21	57 325:16	253:2	260:18	
300 244:15	325:16	75 249:18	260:21	
30s 320:22	582-07-2673	76 250:20	260:25	
320:24	244:1	70 250.20	261:15	
328:6	245:5		9:04	
33 258:10	582-07-2674	8	244:11	
258:22		0 2 050.14		
	244:5	8.3 258:14	245:3	
332 244:20		258:23	900 306:2	
340 299:12	6	259:1	91 255:11	
350 257:4	6 000	259:7	257:17	
257:6	6,000	8.4 258:14	257:22	
365 310:8	312:13	258:23	259:11	
38 309:12	6,045	258:23	259:13	
	254:14	259:22	260:20	
4	305:25	259:24	261:7	
	6,071.52	80.9	261:17	
4,400		1	1 0 6 7 0 7	
-, -00	254:4	273:24	261:21	
271:16	254:4 6,073.25	273:24 274:2	261:21 262:10	
-				
271:16	6,073.25 254:6	274:2	262:10	
271:16 313:12 316:15	6,073.25 254:6 6,077.55	274:2 284:8 298:24	262:10	
271:16 313:12 316:15 4,400-foot	6,073.25 254:6 6,077.55 254:8	274:2 284:8 298:24 302:8	262:10	
271:16 313:12 316:15	6,073.25 254:6 6,077.55	274:2 284:8 298:24	262:10	